pdf version

Disclaimer

This guidance sets forth a recommended, but not mandatory, approach based upon currently available information with respect to risk assessment for response actions at CERCLA sites. This document does not establish binding rules. Alternative approaches for risk assessment may be found to be more appropriate at specific sites (e.g., where site circumstances do not match the underlying assumptions, conditions and models of the guidance). The decision whether to use an alternative approach and a description of any such approach should be documented for such sites. Accordingly, when comments are received at individual CERCLA sites questioning the use of the approaches recommended in this guidance, the comments should be considered and an explanation provided for the selected approach.

It should also be noted that the screening levels (SLs) in these tables are based upon human health risk and do not address potential ecological risk. Some sites in sensitive ecological settings may also need to be evaluated for potential ecological risk. EPA's guidance "Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Process for Designing and Conducting Ecological Risk Assessment" <u>http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ecorisk/ecorisk.htm</u> contains an eight step process for using benchmarks for ecological effects in the remedy selection process.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this website is to provide default screening tables and a calculator to assist Remedial Project Managers (RPMs), On Scene Coordinators (OSC's), risk assessors and others involved in decision-making concerning CERCLA hazardous waste sites and to determine whether levels of contamination found at the site may warrant further investigation or site cleanup, or whether no further investigation or action may be required.

Users within and outside the CERCLA program should use the tables or calculator results at their own discretion and they should take care to understand the assumptions incorporated in these results and to apply the SLs appropriately.

The SLs presented in the Generic Tables are chemical-specific concentrations for individual contaminants in air, drinking water and soil that may warrant further investigation or site cleanup. The SLs generated from the calculator may be site-specific concentrations for individual chemicals in soil, air, water and fish. **It should be emphasized that SLs are not cleanup standards**. We also do not recommend that the RSLs be used as cleanup levels for Superfund Sites until the recommendations in EPA's Supplemental Guidance to Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I, Part A ("Community Involvement in Superfund Risk Assessments" http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragsa/pdf/ci_ra.pdf) have been addressed. SLs should not be used as cleanup levels for a CERCLA site until the other remedy selections identified in the relevant portions of the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300, have been evaluated and considered. PRGs (Preliminary Remediation Goals) is a term used to describe a project team's early and evolving identification of possible remedial goals. PRGs may be initially identified early in the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) process (e.g., at RI scoping) to select appropriate detection limits for RI sampling. Typically, it is necessary for PRGs to be more generic early in the process-ne.g., at RI scoping and at screening of chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) for the baseline risk assessment. However, once the baseline risk assessment has been performed, PRGs can be derived from the calculator using site-specific risks, and the SLs in the Generic Tables are less likely to apply. PRGs developed in the FS will usually be based on site-specific risks and Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) and not on generic SLs.

2. Understanding the Screening Tables

2.1 General Considerations

Risk-based SLs are derived from equations combining exposure assumptions with chemical-specific toxicity values.

2.2 Exposure Assumptions

Generic SLs are based on default exposure parameters and factors that represent Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME) conditions for long-term/chronic exposures and are based on the methods outlined in EPA's <u>Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund</u>, <u>Part B Manual (1991)</u> and Soil Screening Guidance documents (<u>1996</u> and <u>2002</u>).

Site-specific information may warrant modifying the default parameters in the equations and calculating site-specific SLs, which may differ from the values in these tables. In completing such calculations, the user should answer some fundamental questions about the site. For example, information is needed on the contaminants detected at the site, the land use, impacted media and the likely pathways for human exposure.

Whether these generic SLs or site-specific screening levels are used, it is important to clearly demonstrate the equations and exposure parameters used in deriving SLs at a site. A discussion of the assumptions used in the SL calculations should be included in the documentation for a CERCLA site.

2.3 Toxicity Values

In 2003, EPA's Superfund program revised its hierarchy of human health toxicity values, providing three tiers of toxicity values (http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/pdf/hhmemo.pdf). Three tier 3 sources were identified in that guidance, but it was acknowledged that additional tier 3 sources may exist. The 2003 guidance did not attempt to rank or put the identified tier 3 sources into a hierarchy of their own. However, when developing the screening tables and calculator presented on this website, EPA needed to establish a hierarchy among the tier 3 sources. The toxicity values used as "defaults" in

these tables and calculator are consistent with the 2003 guidance. Chronic and subchronic toxicity values from the following sources, in the order in which they are presented below, are used as the defaults in these tables and calculator.

- 1. EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS).
- 2. The Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs) derived by EPA's Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center (STSC) for the EPA Superfund program.
- 3. The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) minimal risk levels (MRLs).
- The California Environmental Protection Agency (<u>OEHHA</u>) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Chronic Reference Exposure Levels (<u>RELS</u>) from October 2013 and the <u>Cancer Potency Values</u> from July 21, 2009 with updates in <u>2011</u> for dioxin/furans and dioxinlike PCBs.
- 5. In the Fall 2009, this new source of toxicity values used was added: screening toxicity values in an appendix to certain PPRTV assessments. While we have less confidence in a screening toxicity value than in a PPRTV, we put these ahead of HEAST toxicity values because these appendix screening toxicity values are more recent and use current EPA methodologies in the derivation, and because the PPRTV appendix screening toxicity values also receive external peer review.
- 6. The EPA Superfund program's Health Effects Assessment Summary Table. (Note that the <u>HEAST</u> website of toxicity values for chemical contaminants is not open to users outside of EPA, but values can be obtained for use on Superfund sites by contacting Michele Burgess at <u>Burgess.Michele@epamail.epa.gov</u>).

Users of these screening tables and calculator wishing to consider using other toxicity values, including toxicity values from additional sources, may find the discussions and seven preferences on selecting toxicity values in the attached Environmental Council of States paper useful for this purpose (ECOS website, ECOS paper).

When using toxicity values, users are encouraged to carefully review the basis for the value and to document the basis of toxicity values used on a CERCLA site.

2.3.1 Reference Doses

The current, or recently completed, EPA toxicity assessments used in these screening tables (IRIS and PPRTVs) define a reference dose, or RfD, as an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily oral exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a NOAEL, LOAEL, or benchmark dose, or using categorical regression, with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. RfDs are generally the toxicity value used most often in evaluating noncancer health effects at Superfund sites. Various types of RfDs are available depending on the critical effect (developmental or other) and the length of exposure being evaluated (chronic or subchronic). Some of the SLs in these tables also use Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) chronic oral minimal risk levels (MRLs) as an oral chronic RfD. Screening toxicity values in an appendix to certain PPRTV assessments were added to the hierarchy in the fall of 2009. The HEAST RfDs used in these SLs were based upon then current EPA toxicity methodologies, but did not use the more recent benchmark dose or categorical regression methodologies. Chronic oral reference doses and ATSDR chronic oral MRLs are expressed in units of (mg/kg-day).

2.3.1.1 Chronic Reference Doses

Chronic oral RfDs are specifically developed to be protective for long-term exposure to a compound. As a guideline for Superfund program risk assessments, chronic oral RfDs generally should be used to evaluate the potential noncarcinogenic effects associated with exposure periods greater than 7 years (approximately 10 percent of a human lifetime). However, this is not a bright line. Note, that ATSDR defines chronic exposure as greater than 1 year for use of their values. The calculator requires the user to select between chronic and subchronic toxicity values.

2.3.1.2 Subchronic Reference Doses

Subchronic oral RfDs are specifically developed to be protective for short-term exposure to a compound. As a guideline for Superfund program risk assessments, subchronic oral RfDs should generally be used to evaluate the potential noncarcinogenic effects of exposure periods between two weeks and seven years. However, this is not a bright line. Note, that ATSDR defines subchronic exposure as less than 1 year for use of their values. The calculator requires the user to select between chronic and subchronic toxicity values.

2.3.2 Reference Concentrations

The current, or recently completed, EPA toxicity assessments used in these screening tables (IRIS and PPRTV assessments) define a reference concentration (RfC) as an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a NOAEL, LOAEL, or benchmark concentration, or using categorical regression with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. Various types of RfCs are available depending on the critical effect (developmental or other) and the length of exposure being evaluated (chronic or subchronic). These screening tables also use ATSDR chronic inhalation MRLs as a chronic RfC, intermediate inhalation MRLs as a subchronic RfC and California Environmental Protection Agency (chronic) Reference Exposure Levels (RELs) as chronic RfCs. Screening toxicity values in an appendix to certain PPRTV assessments were added to the hierarchy in the fall of 2009. These screening tables may also use some RfCs from EPA's HEAST tables.

2.3.2.1 Chronic Reference Concentrations

The chronic inhalation reference concentration is generally used for continuous or near continuous inhalation exposures that occur for 7 years or more. However, this is not a bright line, and ATSDR chronic MRLs are based on exposures longer than 1 year. EPA chronic inhalation reference concentrations are expressed in units of (mg/m^3) . Cal EPA RELs are presented in $\mu g/m^3$ and have been converted to mg/m^3 for use in these screening tables. Some ATSDR inhalation MRLs are derived in parts per million (ppm) and some in mg/m^3 . For use in this table all were converted into mg/m^3 . The calculator requires the user to select between chronic and subchronic toxicity values.

2.3.2.2 Subchronic reference Concentrations

The subchronic inhalation reference concentration is generally used for exposures that are between 2 weeks and 7 years. However, this is not a bright line, and ATSDR subchronic MRLs are based on exposures less than 1 year. EPA subchronic inhalation reference concentrations are expressed in units of (mg/m^3) . Cal EPA RELs are presented in $\mu g/m^3$ and have been converted to mg/m^3 for use in these screening tables. Some ATSDR intermediate inhalation MRLs are derived in parts per million (ppm) and some in mg/m^3 . For use in this table all were converted into mg/m^3 . The calculator requires the user to select between chronic and subchronic toxicity values.

2.3.3 Slope Factors

A slope factor and the accompanying weight-of-evidence determination are the toxicity data most commonly used to evaluate potential human carcinogenic risks. Generally, the slope factor is a plausible upper-bound estimate of the probability of a response per unit intake of a chemical over a lifetime. The slope factor is used in risk assessments to estimate an upper-bound lifetime probability of an individual developing cancer as a result of exposure to a particular level of a potential carcinogen. Slope factors should always be accompanied by the weight-of-evidence classification to indicate the strength of the evidence that the agent is a human carcinogen.

Oral slope factors are toxicity values for evaluating the probability of an individual developing cancer from oral exposure to contaminant levels over a lifetime. Oral slope factors are expressed in units of $(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$. When available, oral slope factors from EPA's IRIS or PPRTV assessments are used. The ATSDR does not derive cancer toxicity values (e.g. slope factors or inhalation unit risks). Some oral slope factors used in these screening tables were derived by the California Environmental Protection Agency, whose methodologies are quite similar to those used by EPA's IRIS and PPRTV assessments. Screening toxicity values in an appendix to certain PPRTV assessments were added to the hierarchy in the fall of 2009. When oral slope factors are not available in IRIS then PPRTVs, Cal EPA assessments, PPRTV appendices or values from HEAST are used.

2.3.4 Inhalation Unit Risk

The IUR is defined as the upper-bound excess lifetime cancer risk estimated to result from continuous exposure to an agent at a concentration of $1 \,\mu g/m^3$ in air. Inhalation unit risk toxicity values are expressed in units of $(\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$.

When available, inhalation unit risk values from EPA's IRIS or PPRTV assessments are used. The ATSDR does not derive cancer toxicity values (e.g. slope factors or inhalation unit risks). Some inhalation unit risk values used in these screening tables were derived by the California Environmental Protection Agency, whose methodologies are quite similar to those used by EPA's IRIS and PPRTV assessments. Screening toxicity values in an appendix to certain PPRTV assessments were added to the hierarchy in the fall of 2009. When inhalation unit risk values are not available in IRIS then PPRTVs, Cal EPA assessments, PPRTV appendices or values from HEAST are used.

2.3.5 Toxicity Equivalence Factors

Some chemicals are members of the same family and exhibit similar toxicological properties; however, they differ in the degree of toxicity. Therefore, a toxicity equivalence factor (TEF) must first be applied to adjust the measured concentrations to a toxicity equivalent concentration.

The following table contains the various dioxin-like toxicity equivalency factors for Dioxins, Furans and dioxin-like PCBs (<u>Van den Berg et al. 2006</u>), which are the World Health Organization 2005 values. These TEFs are also presented in the May 2013 fact sheet, "<u>Use of Dioxin TEFs in Calculating Dioxin TEQs at CERCLA and RCRA Sites</u>" which references the 2010 EPA report, "<u>Recommended Toxicity Equivalence Factors (TEFs) for Human Health Risk Assessments of 2,3,7,8Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and Dioxin-Like Compounds</u>"

	Dioxins and Furans	TEE
		TEF
Chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins		
	2,3,7,8-TCDD	1
	1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	1
	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	0.1
	1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.1
	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.1
	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	0.01
	OCDD	0.0003
Chlorinated dibenzofurans		
	2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.1
	1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.03
	2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.3
	1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.1
	1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.1
	1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.1
	2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.1
	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	0.01
	1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	0.01

Dioxin Toxicity Equivalence Factors

	OCDF		0.0003		
PCBs					
IUPAC No. Structure					
Non-ortho	77	3,3',4,4'-TetraCB	0.0001		
	81	3,4,4',5-TetraCB	0.0003		
	126	3,3',4,4',5-PeCB	0.1		
	169	3,3',4,4',5,5'-HxCB	0.03		
Mono-ortho	105	2,3,3',4,4'-PeCB	0.00003		
	114	2,3,4,4',5-PeCB	0.00003		
	118	2,3',4,4',5-PeCB	0.00003		
	123	2',3,4,4',5-PeCB	0.00003		
	156	2,3,3',4,4',5-HxCB	0.00003		
	157	2,3,3',4,4',5'-HxCB	0.00003		
	167	2,3',4,4',5,5'-HxCB	0.00003		
	189	2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HpCB	0.00003		
Di-ortho*	170	2,2',3,3',4,4',5-HpCB	0.0001		
	180	2,2',3,4,4',5,5'-HpCB	0.00001		

* Di-ortho values come from Ahlborg, U.G., et al. (1994), which are the WHO 1994 values from Toxic equivalency factors for dioxin-like PCBs: Report on WHO-ECEH and IPCS consultation, December 1993 Chemosphere, Volume 28, Issue 6, March 1994, Pages 1049-1067.

Carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Provisional Guidance for Quantitative Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA/600/R-93/089, July 1993), recommends that a toxicity equivalency factor (TEF) be used to convert concentrations of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (cPAHs) to an equivalent concentration of benzo(a)pyrene when assessing the cancer risks posed by these substances from oral exposures. These TEFs are based on the potency of each compound relative to that of benzo(a)pyrene. For the toxicity value database, these TEFs have been applied to the toxicity values. Although this is not in complete agreement with the direction in the aforementioned documents, this approach was used so that toxicity values could be generated for each cPAH. Additionally, it should be noted that computationally it makes little difference whether the TEFs are applied to the concentrations of cPAHs found in environmental samples or to the toxicity values as long as the TEFs are not applied to both. However, if the adjusted toxicity values are used, the user will need to sum the risks from all cPAHs as part of the risk assessment to derive a total risk from all cPAHs. A total risk from all cPAHs is what is derived when the TEFs are applied to the environmental concentrations of cPAHs and not to the toxicity values. These TEFs are not needed and should not be used with the Cal EPA Inhalation Unit Risk Values used, nor should they be used when calculating non-cancer risk. See FAQ no. 14.

The following table presents the TEFs for cPAHs recommended in *Provisional Guidance for Quantitative Risk Assessment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons*.

Toxicity Equivalency Factors for Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Compound	TEF
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0
Benz(a)anthracene	0.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.01
Chrysene	0.001
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.0
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	0.1

2.4 Chemical-specific Parameters

Several chemical specific parameters are needed for development of the SLs.

2.4.1 Sources

Many sources are used to populate the database of chemical-specific parameters. They are briefly described below.

- 1. The Estimation Programs Interface (EPI) SuiteTM was developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics and Syracuse Research Corporation (SRC). These programs estimate various chemical-specific properties. The calculations for these SL tables use the experimental values for a property over the estimated values.
- 2. EPA Soil Screening Level (SSL) Exhibit C-1.
- 3. WATER8, which has been replaced with WATER9.
- 4. Syracuse Research Corporation (SRC). 2005. CHEMFATE Database. SRC. Syracuse, NY. Accessed July 2005.
- 5. Syracuse Research Corporation (SRC). 2005. PHYSPROP Database. SRC. Syracuse, NY. Accessed July 2005.

- 6. Yaws' Handbook of Thermodynamic and Physical Properties of Chemical Compounds. Knovel, 2003. (http://www.knovel.com).
- 7. EPA Soil Screening Level (SSL) Table C.4 (http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/conmedia/soil/index.htm).
- Baes, C.F. 1984. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. A Review and Analysis of Parameters for Assessing Transport of Environmentally Released Radionuclides through Agriculture. <u>http://homer.ornl.gov/baes/documents/ornl5786.html</u>. Values are also found in Superfund Chemical Data Matrix (SCDM) (<u>http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/hrsres/tools/scdm.htm</u>).
- 9. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards (NPG), NIOSH Publication No. 97-140, February 2004. (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npg.html).
- 10. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics . (Various Editions)
- Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook (Various Editions).McGraw-Hill. Online version available at:<u>http://www.knovel.com/web/portal/browse/display?</u> <u>EXT_KNOVEL_DISPLAY_bookid=2203&VerticalID=0</u>. Green, Don W.; Perry, Robert H. (2008).
- 12. Lange's Handbook of Chemistry (Various Editions). Online version available at:<u>http://www.knovel.com/web/portal/browse/display?</u> <u>EXT_KNOVEL_DISPLAY_bookid=1347&VerticalID=0</u>. Speight, James G. (2005). McGraw-Hill.
- U.S. EPA 2004. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment) Final. OSWER 9285.7-02EP. July 2004. <u>Document</u> and website <u>http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragse/index.htm"</u>.
- 14. The ARS Pesticide Properties Database: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. 2009. Document and website http://www.ars.usda.gov/services/docs.htm?docid=14199".

2.4.2 Hierarchy by Parameter

Generally the hierarchies below will work for organic and inorganic compounds.

- 1. Organic Carbon Partition Coefficient (K_{oc}) (L/kg). Not applicable for inorganics. EPI estimated values; SSL, Yaw estimated values; EPI experimental values; Yaw Experimental values.
- 2. Dermal Permeability Coefficient (Kp) (cm/hr). EPI estimated values; RAGS Part E.
- 3. Effective Predictive Domain (EPD). Calculated based on RAGS Part E criteria for MW and log Kow.
- 4. Fraction Absorbed (FA). RAGS Part E Exhibit B-3; Calculated.
- 5. Molecular Weight (MW) (g/mole). EPI; CRC89; PERRY; LANGE; YAWS.
- 6. Water Solubility (S) (mg/L at 25 & degC, unless otherwise stated in the source.). EPI experimental values; SSL; CRC; YAWS experimental values; PERRY; LANGE; Yaws estimated values; EPI estimated values; PHYSPROP.
- 7. Unitless Henry's Law Constant (H' at 25 & degC, unless otherwise stated in the source.). EPI experimental values; SSL; YAWS experimental values; EPI estimated values; PHYSPROP.
- Henry's Law Constant (atm-m³/mole at 25 & degC, unless otherwise stated in the source.). EPI experimental values; SSL; YAWS experimental values; EPI estimated values; PHYSPROP.
- 9. Diffusivity in Air (Dia) (cm²/s). WATER9 equations; SSL.
- 10. Diffusivity in Water (D_{iw}) (cm²/s). WATER9 equations; SSL.
- 11. Fish Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) (L/kg). EPI experimental values; EPI estimated values.
- 12. Soil-Water Partition Coefficient (K_d) (cm³/g). SSL; BAES.
- 13. Density (g/cm³). CRC; PERRY; LANGE; IRIS.
- 14. Melting Point (MP °C). EPI experimental values; SSL; CRC; Perry; Lange; EPI estimated values.
- 15. log Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient (logKow). EPI experimental values; YAWS experimental values; EPI estimated values; Yaws estimated values.

3. Using the SL Tables

The "Generic Tables" page provides generic concentrations in the absence of site-specific exposure assessments. These concentrations can be used for:

- Prioritizing multiple sites or operable units or areas of concern within a facility or exposure units
- · Setting risk-based detection limits for contaminants of potential concern (COPCs)
- · Focusing future site investigation and risk assessment efforts (e.g., selecting COPCs for the baseline risk assessment)

- · Identifying contamination which may warrant cleanup
- Identifying sites, or portions of sites, which warrant no further action or investigation
- · Initial cleanup goals when site-specific data are lacking

Generic SLs are provided for multiple exposure pathways and for chemicals with both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic effects. A Summary Table is provided that contains SLs corresponding to either a 10^{-6} risk level for carcinogens or a Hazard Quotient (HQ) of 1 for non-carcinogens. The summary table identifies whether the SL is based on cancer or noncancer effects by including a "c" or "n" after the SL. The Supporting Tables provide SLs corresponding to a 10^{-6} risk level for carcinogens. Site specific SLs corresponding to an HQ of less than 1 may be appropriate for those sites where multiple chemicals are present that have RfDs or RfCs based on the same toxic endpoint. Site specific SLs based upon a cancer risk greater than 10^{-6} can be calculated and may be appropriate based upon site specific considerations. However, caution is recommended to ensure that cumulative cancer risk for all actual and potential carcinogenic contaminants found at the site does not have a residual (after site cleanup, or when it has been determined that no site cleanup is required) cancer risk exceeding 10^{-4} . Also, changing the target risk or HI may change the balance between the cancer and noncancer endpoints. At some concentrations, the cancer-risk concerns predominate; at other concentrations, noncancer-HI concerns predominate. The user must take care to consider both when adjusting target risks and hazards.

Tables are provided in either MS Excel or in PDF format. The following lists the tables provided and a description of what is contained in each:

- Summary Table provides a list of contaminants, toxicity values, MCLs and the lesser (more protective) of the cancer and noncancer SLs for resident soil, industrial soil, resident air, industrial air and tapwater.
- Residential Soil Supporting Table provides a list of contaminants, toxicity values and the cancer and noncancer SLs for resident soil.
- Industrial Soil Supporting Table provides a list of contaminants, toxicity values and the cancer and noncancer SLs for industrial soil.
- Residential Air Supporting Table provides a list of contaminants, toxicity values and the cancer and noncancer SLs for resident air.
- Industrial Air Supporting Table provides a list of contaminants, toxicity values and the cancer and noncancer SLs for industrial air.
- Residential Tapwater Supporting Table provides a list of contaminants, toxicity values, MCLs and the cancer and noncancer SLs for tapwater.

3.1 Developing a Conceptual Site Model

When using generic SLs at a site, the exposure pathways of concern and site conditions should match those used in developing the SLs presented here. (Note, however, that future uses may not match current uses. Future uses are potential site uses that may occur in the future. At Superfund sites, future uses should be considered as well as current uses. RAGS Part A, Chapter 6, provides guidance on selecting future-use receptors.) Thus, it is necessary to develop a conceptual site model (CSM) to identify likely contaminant source areas, exposure pathways, and potential receptors. This information can be used to determine the applicability of SLs at the site and the need for additional information. The final CSM diagram represents linkages among contaminant sources, release mechanisms, exposure pathways, and routes and receptors based on historical information. It summarizes the understanding of the contamination problem. A separate CSM for ecological receptors can be useful. Part 2 and Attachment A of the Soil Screening Guidance for Superfund: Users Guide (EPA 1996) contains the steps for developing a CSM.

As a final check, the CSM should address the following questions:

- Are there potential ecological concerns?
- Is there potential for land use other than those used in the SL calculations (i.e., residential and commercial/industrial)?
- Are there other likely human exposure pathways that were not considered in development of the SLs?
- Are there unusual site conditions (e.g. large areas of contamination, high fugitive dust levels, potential for indoor air contamination)?

The SLs and later PRGs may need to be adjusted to reflect the answers to these questions.

Below is a potential CSM of the quantified pathways addressed in the SL Tables.

?

3.2 Background

EPA may be concerned with two types of background at sites: naturally occurring and anthropogenic. Natural background is usually limited to metals whereas anthropogenic (i.e. human-made) "background" includes both organic and inorganic contaminants.

Please note that the SL tables, which are purely risk-based, may yield SLs lower than naturally occurring background concentrations of some chemicals in some areas. However, background considerations may be incorporated into the assessment and investigation of sites, as acknowledged in existing EPA guidance. Background levels should be addressed as they are for other contaminants at CERCLA sites. For further information see EPA's guidance <u>Role of Background in the CERCLA Cleanup Program</u>, April 2002, (OSWER 9285.6-07P) and <u>Guidance for Comparing Background and Chemical Concentration in Soil for CERCLA Sites</u>, September 2002, (OSWER 9285.7-41).

Generally EPA does not clean up below natural background. In some cases, the predictive risk-based models generate SL concentrations that lie within or even below typical background concentrations for the same element or compound. Arsenic, aluminum, iron and manganese are common elements in soils that have background levels that may exceed risk-based SLs. This does not mean that these metals cannot be site-related, or that these metals should automatically be attributed to background. Attribution of chemicals to background is a site-specific decision; consult your regional risk assessor.

Where anthropogenic "background" levels exceed SLs and EPA has determined that a response action is necessary and feasible, EPA's goal will be to develop a

comprehensive response to the widespread contamination. This will often require coordination with different authorities that have jurisdiction over the sources of contamination in the area.

3.3 Potential Problems

As with any risk based screening table or tool, the potential exists for misapplication. In most cases, this results from not understanding the intended use of the SLs or PRGs. In order to prevent misuse of the SLs, the following should be avoided:

- Applying SLs to a site without adequately developing a conceptual site model that identifies relevant exposure pathways and exposure scenarios.
- Not considering the effects from the presence of multiple contaminants, where appropriate.
- Use of the SLs as cleanup levels without adequate consideration of the other NCP remedy selection criteria on CERCLA sites.
- Use of SL as cleanup levels without verifying numbers with a toxicologist or regional risk assessor.
- · Use of outdated SLs when tables have been superseded by more recent values.
- Not considering the effects of additivity when screening multiple chemicals.
- · Applying inappropriate target risks or changing a cancer target risk without considering its effect on noncancer, or vice versa.
- Not performing additional screening for pathways not included in these SLs (e.g., vapor intrusion, fish consumption).
- Adjusting SLs upward by factors of 10 or 100 without consulting a toxicologist or regional risk assessor.

4. Technical Support Documentation

The SLs consider human exposure to individual contaminants in air, drinking water and soil. The equations and technical discussion are aimed at developing riskbased SLs or PRGs. The following text presents the land use equations and their exposure routes. <u>Table 1</u> presents the definitions of the variables and their default values. Any alternative values or assumptions used in developing SLs on a site should be presented with supporting rationale in the decision document on CERCLA sites.

4.1 Residential Soil

4.1.1 Noncancer-child

The residential soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{res-sol-nc-ing}(mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{c}(6 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{c}(15 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{c}(6 \text{ year}) \times \frac{1}{RtD_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)} \times IRS_{c}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}$$

• inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{res \cdot sol-nc \cdot inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{r} \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ year}) \times ET_{rs} \left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m3}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{s} \left(\frac{m3}{Kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_{w} \left(\frac{m3}{Kg}\right)}\right)}$$

· dermal contact with soil,

$$SL_{res \cdot sol-nc \cdot der} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_r \left(\frac{355 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_c (6 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_c (15 \text{ Kg})}{EF_r \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_c (6 \text{ year}) \times \frac{1}{\left(RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg} \cdot \text{day}}\right) \times GIABS\right)} \times SA_c \left(\frac{2800 \text{ cm}^2}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_c \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^2}\right) \times ABS_d \times \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}{Total.}$$

$$SL_{res-sol-nc-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{res-sol-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{res-sol-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{res-sol-nc-inh}}}$$

4.1.2 Noncancer-adult

The residential soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

- incidental ingestion of soil,
- inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,
- dermal contact with soil,
- ?
- Total.
- ?

4.1.3 Carcinogenic

The residential soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{res-sol-ca-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_r \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{CSF_o \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times EF_r \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times IFS_{adj} \left(\frac{114 \text{ mg-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{\text{mg}}\right)}$$

where:

$$\mathsf{IFS}_{\mathsf{adj}}\left(\frac{114 \mathsf{ mg-Year}}{\mathsf{Kg}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{c}}\left(\mathsf{6} \mathsf{ years}\right) \times \mathsf{IRS}_{\mathsf{c}}\left(\frac{200 \mathsf{ mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right)}{\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{c}}\left(15 \mathsf{ Kg}\right)} + \frac{\mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{r}}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{c}}\left(24 \mathsf{ years}\right) \times \mathsf{IRS}_{\mathsf{a}}\left(\frac{100 \mathsf{ mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right)}{\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{a}}\left(70 \mathsf{ Kg}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$TR \times AT_r \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years}) \right)$$

$$SL_{res-sol-ca-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{r(year)(r(year))}{IUR(\frac{\mu g}{mg})^{-1} \times (\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{mg}) \times EF_r (\frac{350 \ days}{year}) \times (\frac{1}{VF_s (\frac{m^3}{Kg})} + \frac{1}{PEF_w (\frac{m^3}{Kg})}) \times ED_r (30 \ years) \times ET_{rs} (\frac{24 \ hours}{day}) \times (\frac{1 \ day}{24 \ hours})$$

· dermal contact with soil,

$$SL_{res-sol-ca-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{\left(\frac{CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1}}{\text{GIABS}}\right)} \times EF_{r} \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times DFS_{adj} \left(\frac{361 \text{ mg-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{\text{mg}}\right)}{\left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{\text{mg}}\right)} = \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{10^{-6} \text{Kg}} + 10^{-6} \text{Kg}}$$

where:

•

$$DFS_{adj} \left(\frac{361 \text{ mg-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}} \right) = \frac{ED_{c} \left(6 \text{ years} \right) \times SA_{c} \left(\frac{2800 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}} \right) \times AF_{c} \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}} \right)}{BW_{c} \left(15 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{ED_{r} \cdot ED_{c} \left(24 \text{ years} \right) \times SA_{a} \left(\frac{5700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}} \right) \times AF_{a} \left(\frac{0.07 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}} \right)}{BW_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)}$$
Total.

$$SL_{res \cdot sol \cdot ca \cdot tot} \left(\text{mg/kg} \right) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{res \cdot sol \cdot ca \cdot ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{res \cdot sol \cdot ca \cdot der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{res \cdot sol \cdot ca \cdot inh}}}$$

4.1.4 Mutagenic

The residential soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

· incidental ingestion of soil,

$$\begin{split} \text{SL}_{\text{res-sol-mu-ing}}\left(\text{rmg}\text{/kg}\right) &= \frac{\text{TR}\times\text{AT}_{\text{r}}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\times\text{LT}\left(70 \text{ years}\right)\right)}{\text{CSF}_{\text{o}}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1}\times\text{EF}_{\text{r}}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right)\times\text{IFSM}_{\text{adj}}\left(\frac{489.5 \text{ mg-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)\times\left(\frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{\text{mg}}\right)}{\text{where:}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IFSM}_{\text{adj-}}\left(\frac{489.5 \text{ mg-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right) &= \frac{\text{ED}_{0-2}\left(\text{yr}\right)\times\text{IRS}_{\text{c}}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right)\times10}{\text{BW}_{\text{c}}\left(15 \text{ Kg}\right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{2-6}\left(\text{yr}\right)\times\text{IRS}_{\text{c}}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right)\times3}{\text{BW}_{\text{c}}\left(15 \text{ Kg}\right)} + \\ &= \frac{\text{ED}_{6-16}\left(\text{yr}\right)\times\text{IRS}_{\text{a}}\left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right)\times3}{\text{BW}_{\text{a}}\left(70 \text{ Kg}\right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30}\left(\text{yr}\right)\times\text{IRS}_{\text{a}}\left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right)\times1}{\text{BW}_{\text{a}}\left(70 \text{ Kg}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

4.1.5 Vinyl Chloride - Carcinogenic

The residential soil land use equations, presented here, contain the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{res-sol-ca-vc-ling}(mg/kg) = \frac{TR}{\left(\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)^{-1} \times EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{ year}}\right) \times FS_{adj}\left(\frac{114 \text{ mg}\cdot yr}{\text{ kg}\cdot d}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}{AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{ year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right)}\right)} + \frac{\left(\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{\text{ Kg}\cdot\text{ day}}\right)^{-1} \times IRS_{c}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{ day}}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}{BVV_{c}(15 \text{ kg})}\right)}{BVV_{c}(15 \text{ kg})}$$

inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{res-sol-ca-vc-inh} (m g/kg) = \frac{TR}{\left(\frac{IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{m_{3}}\right)^{-1} \times EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED(30 \text{ years}) \times ET_{rs}\left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right)}{AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times VF_{s}\left(\frac{m_{3}^{3}}{kg}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right)}{VF_{s}\left(\frac{m_{3}^{3}}{kg}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right)}$$

· dermal contact with soil,



A number of studies have shown that inadvertent ingestion of soil is common among children 6 years old and younger (Calabrese et al. 1989, Davis et al. 1990, Van Wijnen et al. 1990). Therefore, the dose method uses an age-adjusted soil ingestion factor that takes into account the difference in daily soil ingestion rates, body weights, and exposure duration for children from 1 to 6 years old and others from 7 to 30 years old. The equation is presented below. This health-protective approach is chosen to take into account the higher daily rates of soil ingestion in children as well as the longer duration of exposure that is anticipated for a long-term resident. For more on this method, see <u>RAGS Part B</u>.

4.1.6 Supporting Equations

$$BW_{c} (15 \text{ kg}) = \frac{BW_{0-2} (15 \text{ kg}) \times ED_{0-2} (2 \text{ years}) + BW_{2-6} (15 \text{ kg}) \times ED_{2-6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0-2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2-6} (4 \text{ years})}$$

$$EF_{c}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) = \frac{EF_{0-2}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + EF_{2-6}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}$$

$$AF_{c}\left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) = \frac{AF_{0-2}\left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + AF_{2-6}\left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}$$

$$SA_{c}\left(\frac{2800 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) = \frac{SA_{0-2}\left(\frac{2800 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + SA_{2-6}\left(\frac{2800 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}$$

$$IRS_{c}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) = \frac{IRS_{0-2}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + IRS_{2-6}\left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0-2}\left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2-6}\left(4 \text{ years}\right)}$$

• Adult

 $ED_{a}(24 \text{ years}) = ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})$ $BW_{a}(70 \text{ kg}) = \frac{BW_{6-16}(70 \text{ kg}) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + BW_{16-30}(70 \text{ kg}) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $EF_{a}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) = \frac{EF_{6-16}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $AF_{a}\left(\frac{0.07 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) = \frac{AF_{6-16}\left(\frac{0.07 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + AF_{16-30}\left(\frac{0.07 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $SA_{a}\left(\frac{5700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) = \frac{AF_{6-16}\left(\frac{5700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + SA_{16-30}\left(\frac{6700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $SA_{a}\left(\frac{5700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) = \frac{IRS_{6-16}\left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{6700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $IRS_{a}\left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) = \frac{IRS_{6-16}\left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $Ag_{c-adjusted}$ $ED_{r}(30 \text{ years}) = ED_{0-2}(2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2-6}(4 \text{ years}) + ED_{2-6}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{2-6}(4 \text{ years})}$ $EF_{0-2}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{0-2}(2 \text{ years}) + EF_{2-6}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})$ $EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) = \frac{+EF_{6-16}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + EF_{6-30}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}{ED_{0-2}(2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2-6}(4 \text{ years}) + ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30}(14 \text{ years})}$ $EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) = \frac{-EF_{6-16}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{6-16}(10 \text{ years}) + ED_{16-30$

4.2 Composite Worker Soil

This land use is for developing industrial default screening levels that are presented in the Generic Tables.

4.2.1 Noncancer

The composite worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{w-sol-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{ow} \left(25 \text{ years}\right)\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{w-sol-nc-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{360 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m3}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{s} \left(\frac{m3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_{w} \left(\frac{m3}{kg}\right)}\right)}$$

(DEE dawa

· dermal exposure,

$$SL_{w-sol-nc-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right) \times GIABS}\right) \times SA_{ow} \left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^2}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{ow} \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^2}\right) \times ABS_d \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right) \times GIABS}$$

(----

• Total.



4.2.2 Carcinogenic

The composite worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{w-sol-ca-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ mg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{o$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$\text{TR} \times \text{AT}_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times \text{LT} (70 \text{ years}) \right)$$

$$SL_{w-sol-ca-inh} (mg/kg) = \underbrace{UW (vgar v (v (v (v (vgar v (vgar v$$

• dermal exposure,

$$SL_{w-sol-ca-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1}}{\text{GIABS}}\right) \times SA_{ow} \left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{ow} \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}{\text{Total.}}$$

$$SL_{w-sol-ca-tot} \left(\frac{\text{mg/kg}}{\text{sl}}\right) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{SL}_{w-sol-ca-ing}} + \frac{1}{\text{SL}_{w-sol-ca-inh}}} + \frac{1}{\text{SL}_{w-sol-ca-inh}}}$$

The indoor worker soil land use is not provided in the Generic Tables but SLs can be created by using the Calculator.

4.3.1 Noncancer

The indoor worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{iw-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{iw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{iw} (25 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{iw} (70 \text{ kg})}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{iw} (25 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)} \times IR_{iw} \left(50 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{iw-nc-inh} (mg/kg) = \underbrace{Fiw} \left(250 \frac{days}{year} \right) \times ED_{iw} (25 years) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{day} \right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}} \right) \times \frac{1}{RfC} \left(\frac{mg}{m3} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_s} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg} \right) + \frac{1}{PEF_w} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg} \right) \right)$$

• Total.

$$SL_{iw-nc-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{iw-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{iw-nc-inh}}}$$

4.3.2 Carcinogenic

The indoor worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{iw-ca-ing}(mg \& g) = \frac{TR \times AT_{iw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{iw}(70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{iw} \left(25 \text{ years}\right) \times CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{iw} \left(50 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{iw-ca-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{iw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{iw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{iw} (25 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{m3}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_s \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_w \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)$$
• Total.

$$SL_{iw-ca-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{iw-ca-inh}}} + \frac{1}{SL_{iw-ca-inh}}$$

4.4 Outdoor Worker Soil

The outdoor worker soil land use is not provided in the Generic Tables but SLs can be created by using the Calculator.

4.4.1 Noncancer

The outdoor worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{ow-sol-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{ow} \left(225 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{ow-sol-nc-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{ow} \left(225 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m^3}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_s \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_w \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)}$$

• dermal exposure,

$$SL_{ow-sol-nc-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{ow} \left(225 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right) \times GIABS}\right) \times SA_{ow} \left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^2}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{ow} \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^2}\right) \times ABS_d \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)$$

Total.

 $SL_{ow-sol-nc-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{ow-sol-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{ow-sol-nc-der}}} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{ow-sol-nc-inh}}}}$

4.4.2 Carcinogenic

The outdoor worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{ow-sol-ca-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{ow} \left(225 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{ow} \left(100 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{ow-sol-ca-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{ow} \left(225 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{mg}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_s \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_w \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)}$$

• dermal exposure,

$$SL_{ow-sol-ca-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{ow} \left(\frac{365 \ days}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \ years)\right) \times BW_{ow} (70 \ Kg)}{EF_{ow} \left(225 \ \frac{days}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{ow} (25 \ years) \times \left(\frac{CSF_o \left(\frac{mg}{kg \cdot day}\right)^{-1}}{GIABS}\right) \times SA_{ow} \left(\frac{3300 \ cm^2}{day}\right) \times AF_{ow} \left(\frac{0.2 \ mg}{cm^2}\right) \times ABS_d \times \left(\frac{10^6 \ Kg}{1 \ mg}\right)}$$

• Total.

$$SL_{ow-sol-ca-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{ow-sol-ca-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{ow-sol-ca-inf}} + \frac{1}{SL_{ow-sol-ca-inf}}}$$

uw-su-ca-ing uw-su-ca-der

4.5 Construction Worker Soil

The construction worker worker soil land use is not provided in the Generic Tables but SLs can be created by using the Calculator. The construction land use is described in the supplemental soil screening guidance. This land use is limited to an exposure duration of 1 year and is thus, subchronic. Other unique aspects of this scenario are that the PEF is based on mechanical disturbance of the soil and a special VF equation is used. Two types of mechanical soil disturbance are addressed: standard vehicle traffic and other than standard vehicle traffic (e.g. wind, grading, dozing, tilling and excavating). In general, the intakes and contact rates are all greater than the outdoor worker. Exhibit 5-1 in the supplemental soil screening guidance presents the exposure parameters.

4.5.1 Noncancer for Standard Vehicle Traffic

The construction worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)} \times IR_{cw} \left(330 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$\mathsf{THQ}\mathsf{\times}\mathsf{AT}_\mathsf{CW}\left(\frac{365 \mathsf{ days}}{\mathsf{year}}\mathsf{\times}\mathsf{ED}_\mathsf{CW}\left(\mathsf{1} \mathsf{ years}\right)\right)$$

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-inh} (mgAg) = \underbrace{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 years) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{day}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m3}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)}$$

• dermal exposure,

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-der}(mg/kg) = \frac{cw(vyear) + cw(vyear)}{EF_{cw}\left(250 \frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{cw}(1 years) \times \left(\frac{1}{RfD_{0}\left(\frac{mg}{kg-day}\right) \times GIABS}\right) \times SA_{cw}\left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^{2}}{day}\right) \times AF_{cw}\left(\frac{0.3 \text{ mg}}{cm^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)$$

• Total.

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-nc-inh}}}$$

4.5.2 Carcinogenic for Standard Vehicle Traffic

The construction worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-ca-ing}(mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw}(70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw}(1 \text{ years}) \times CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{cw} \left(330 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-ca-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{mg}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right) + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right$$

• dermal exposure,

•

$$SL_{cw-soil-ca-der}(mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{cw}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw}(70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw}\left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw}(1 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1}}{\text{GIABS}}\right) \times SA_{cw}\left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{cw}\left(\frac{0.3 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}{SL_{cw-soil-ca-tot}(mg/kg)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-ca-ing}} + \frac{1}{\frac{SL_{cw-soil-ca-ing}}} + \frac{1}{\frac{SL_{cw-soil-ca-ing}}}}$$

4.5.3 Noncancer for Other than Standard Vehicle Traffic

The construction worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)} \times IR_{cw} \left(330 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m^3}\right)} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF'_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)}$$

dermal exposure

• dermal exposure,

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-der}(mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{cw}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{cw}(1 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw}(70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw}\left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw}(1 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{1}{RfD_{0}\left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right) \times GIABS}\right) \times SA_{cw}\left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{cw}\left(\frac{0.3 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)$$

Total.

$$SL_{cw-soil-nc-tot} (mg/kg) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-nc-inh}}}$$

4.5.2 Carcinogenic for Other than Standard Vehicle Traffic

The construction worker soil land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-ca-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times CSF_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IR_{cw} \left(330 \frac{mg}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil,

$$SL_{cw-soil-ca-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times ET_{ws} \left(\frac{8 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{m3}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{Mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{Mg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)} + \frac{1}{VF_{sc} \left(\frac{m^3}{kg}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac$$

• dermal exposure,

•

$$SL_{cw-soil-ca-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{cw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{cw} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{cw} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{cw} (1 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{CSF_{0} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1}}{GIABS}\right) \times SA_{cw} \left(\frac{3300 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{cw} \left(\frac{0.3 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{ Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}\right)}{SL_{cw-soil-ca-tot} (mg/kg)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-ca-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-ca-inf}} + \frac{1}{SL_{cw-soil-ca-inf}}}$$

4.6 Recreational Soil or Sediment

4.6.1 Noncancer - Child

The recreational soil or sediment land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

· incidental ingestion of soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recsc} (\text{years})\right) \times BW_{recsc} (15 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{recsc} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{recsc} (\text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)} \times IRS_{recsc} \left(\frac{200 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}$$

- inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil or sediment,
- dermal contact with soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-nc-der}(mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recsc}(\text{years})\right) \times BW_{recsc}(15 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{recsc} \left(\frac{days}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{recsc}(\text{ year}) \times \frac{1}{\left(RfD_{0} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right) \times GIABS\right)} \times SA_{recsc} \left(\frac{2800 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{recsc} \left(\frac{0.2 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}{Total}$$

4.6.2 Noncancer - Adult

The recreational soil or sediment land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-nc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recsa} (\text{ years})\right) \times BW_{recsa} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{recsa} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{recsa} (\text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)} \times IRS_{recsa} \left(\frac{100 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-nc-inh} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recsa} (\text{ years}) \right)}{EF_{recsa} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}} \right) \times ED_{recsa} (\text{ year}) \times ET_{recsa} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{\text{day}} \right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}} \right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m3} \right)} \times \left(\frac{1}{VF_{s} \left(\frac{m3}{Kg} \right)} + \frac{1}{PEF_{w} \left(\frac{m3}{Kg} \right)} \right)}$$

· dermal contact with soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-nc-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recsa} (\text{years})\right) \times BW_{recsa} (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_{recsa} \left(\frac{days}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{recsa} (\text{ year}) \times \frac{1}{\left(\frac{RfD_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg} \cdot \text{day}}\right) \times GIABS\right)} \times SA_{recsa} \left(\frac{5700 \text{ cm}^{2}}{\text{day}}\right) \times AF_{recsa} \left(\frac{0.07 \text{ mg}}{\text{cm}^{2}}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}{SL_{rec-sol-nc-tot} (mg/kg)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-nc-inh}}}}$$

4.6.3 Carcinogenic

The recreational soil or sediment land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-ca-ing}(mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right)}{CSF_{o} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IFS_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{mg}\right)}$$

where

S

$$\mathsf{IFS}_{\mathsf{rec-adj}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{Kg}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recsc}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recsc}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{IRS}_{\mathsf{recsc}}\left(\frac{200 \ \mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right)}{\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{recsc}}\left(\mathsf{Kg}\right)} + \frac{\mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{IRS}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{100 \ \mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right)}{\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\mathsf{Kg}\right)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil or sediment,

$$L_{\text{rec-sol-ca-inh}} (\text{mg/kg}) = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}_{\text{rec}} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times \text{LT} (70 \text{ years}) \right)}{\text{IUR} \left(\frac{\mu g}{\text{mg}} \right)^{-1} \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{\text{mg}} \right) \times \text{EF}_{\text{recs}} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}} \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\text{VF}_{\text{s}} \left(\frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{Kg}} \right)} + \frac{1}{\text{PEF}_{\text{w}} \left(\frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{Kg}} \right)} \right) \times \text{ED}_{\text{recs}} (\text{years}) \times \text{ET}_{\text{recs}} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{\text{day}} \right) \times \left(\frac{1 \ \text{day}}{24 \ \text{hours}} \right)$$

• de

$$SL_{rec-sol-ca-der}(mgkg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right)}{\left(\frac{CSF_0 \left(\frac{mg}{Kg \cdot day}\right)^{-1}}{GIABS}\right) \times DFS_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{mg}{Kg}\right) \times ABS_d \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{mg}\right)}$$

where:



4.6.4 Mutagenic

The recreational soil or sediment land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

· incidental ingestion of soil or sediment,

 $SL_{rec-sol-mu-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{CSF_0 \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IFSM_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{mg}\right)}$

where:

$$IFSM_{rec-adj}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg}\right) = \frac{ED_{0-2}(yr) \times EF_{0-2}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{0-2}\left(\frac{200 mg}{day}\right) \times 10}{BW_{0-2}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{2-6}(yr) \times EF_{2-6}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{2-6}\left(\frac{200 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{2-6}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{6-16}(yr) \times EF_{6-16}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{6-16}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{6-16}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{100 mg}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times IRS_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times 3}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times 2}{BW_{16-30}(Kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30}(yr) \times 2}{BW_{16-30$$

)

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil or sediment,

1

$$\mathsf{TR} \times \mathsf{AT}_{\mathsf{rec}}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times \mathsf{LT}(70 \text{ years})\right)$$

· dermal contact with soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-sol-mu-der} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right)}{\left(\frac{CSF_{0} \left(\frac{mg}{(kg \cdot day)}\right)^{-1}}{GIABS}\right) \times DFSM_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{mg}{kg}\right) \times ABS_{d} \times \left(\frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{mg}\right)}{Where:}$$

$$DFSM_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{mg}{kg}\right) = \frac{ED_{0-2} (yr) \times EF_{0-2} \left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times AF_{0-2} \left(\frac{mg}{cm^{2}}\right) \times SA_{0-2} \left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) \times 10}{BW_{0-2} (kg)} + \frac{ED_{2-6} (yr) \times EF_{2-6} \left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times AF_{2-6} \left(\frac{mg}{cm^{2}}\right) \times SA_{2-6} \left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{2-6} (kg)} + \frac{ED_{6-16} (yr) \times EF_{6-16} \left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times AF_{6-16} \left(\frac{cm^{2}}{cm^{2}}\right) \times SA_{6-16} \left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) \times 3}{BW_{6-16} (kg)} + \frac{ED_{16-30} (yr) \times EF_{16-30} \left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times AF_{16-30} \left(\frac{cm^{2}}{cm^{2}}\right) \times SA_{16-30} \left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) \times 1}{BW_{16-30} (kg)} + \frac{TTR \times 1}{BW_{16-30} (kg)} + \frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-mu-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-mu-ing}}} + \frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-mu-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{rec-sol-mu-ing}}$$

4.6.5 Vinyl Chloride - Carcinogenic

The recreational soil or sediment land use equations, presented here, contain the following exposure routes:

· incidental ingestion of soil or sediment,

$$SL_{rec-soil-ca-vc-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{IR}{\left(\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)^{-1} \times IFS_{rec-adj}\left(\frac{mg}{kg}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}Kg}{1 mg}}{AT_{recs}\left(\frac{365 \ days}{year} \times LT \ (70 \ years)\right)}\right)^{+}}$$
$$\left(\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)^{-1} \times IRS_{recsc}\left(\frac{200 \ mg}{day}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}Kg}{1 \ mg}}{BW_{recsc} (kg)}\right)}{BW_{recsc} (kg)}$$

· inhalation of volatiles and particulates emitted from soil or sediment,

$$\left(\frac{IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{m^3} \right)^{-1} \times EF_{recs} \left(\frac{days}{year} \right) \times ED_{recs} \left(years \right) \times ET_{recs} \left(\frac{hours}{day} \right) \times \left(\frac{1 \ day}{24 \ hours} \right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{mg} \right)}{AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \ days}{year} \times LT \left(70 \ years \right) \right) \times VF \left(\frac{m^3}{kg} \right)} \right) + \left(\frac{IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{m^3} \right)^{-1}}{VF \left(\frac{m^3}{kg} \right)} \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{mg} \right)} \right)$$

· dermal contact with soil or sediment,

ΤR SL_{rec-soil-ca-vc-der} (mg/kg)= $CSF_0\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)$ $\frac{(361 \text{ mg})}{\text{kg}} \times \text{ABS}_{d} \times \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}$ GIABS rec-adj AT_{recs} ´365 days ×LT (70 years) $\frac{\text{CSF}_{0}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)}{\text{GIABS}}$ ×ABS× 10⁻⁶Kg 1 mg $\times SA_{recsc} \left(\frac{cm^2}{day} \right)$ cm² • Total. SL_{rec-soil-ca-vc-tot} (mg/kg)= SL_{rec-soil-ca-vc-inh} rec-soi⊢ca-vc-ing rec-soil-ca-vc-der

A number of studies have shown that inadvertent ingestion of soil is common among children 6 years old and younger (Calabrese et al. 1989, Davis et al. 1990, Van Wijnen et al. 1990). Therefore, the dose method uses an age-adjusted soil ingestion factor that takes into account the difference in daily soil ingestion rates, body weights, and exposure duration for children from 1 to 6 years old and others from 7 to 30 years old. The equation is presented below. This health-protective approach is chosen to take into account the higher daily rates of soil ingestion in children as well as the longer duration of exposure that is anticipated for a longterm resident. For more on this method, see RAGS Part B.

4.6.6 Supporting Equations

• Child

$$ED_{recsc}(yr) = ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)$$

$$BW_{recsc}(kg) = \frac{BW_{0-2}(kg) \times ED_{0-2}(yr) + BW_{2-6}(kg) \times ED_{2-6}(yr)}{ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)}$$

$$EF_{recsc}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) = \frac{EF_{0-2}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{0-2}(yr) + EF_{2-6}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{2-6}(yr)}{ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)}$$

$$AF_{recsc}\left(\frac{events}{day}\right) = \frac{AF_{0-2}\left(\frac{events}{day}\right) \times ED_{0-2}(yr) + AF_{2-6}\left(\frac{events}{day}\right) \times ED_{2-6}(yr)}{ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)}$$

$$ET_{recsc}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) = \frac{ET_{0-2}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{0-2}(yr) + ET_{2-6}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{2-6}(yr)}{ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)}$$

$$SA_{recsc}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) = \frac{SA_{0-2}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) \times ED_{0-2}(yr) + SA_{2-6}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{day}\right) \times ED_{2-6}(yr)}{ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)}$$

$$IRS_{recsc}\left(\frac{mg}{day}\right) = \frac{IRS_{0-2}\left(\frac{mg}{day}\right) \times ED_{0-2}(yr) + IRS_{2-6}\left(\frac{mg}{day}\right) \times ED_{2-6}(yr)}{ED_{0-2}(yr) + ED_{2-6}(yr)}$$
• Adult

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(yr\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right) \\ & \mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\mathsf{kg}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{BW}_{6-16}\left(\mathsf{kg}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{BW}_{16-30}\left(\mathsf{kg}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{EP}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EF}_{6-16}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{EF}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{AF}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{AF}_{6-16}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{AF}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{ET}_{6-16}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ET}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{SA}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{cm}^{2}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{SA}_{6-16}\left(\frac{\mathsf{cm}^{2}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{SA}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{cm}^{2}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{RS}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{IRS}_{6-16}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{RS}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{AFe}_{\mathsf{recsa}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{RS}_{6-16}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{RS}_{16-30}\left(\frac{\mathsf{mg}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{16-30}\left(yr\right)} \\ & \mathsf{Age}_{\mathsf{rad}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) = \mathsf{EP}_{\mathsf{recs}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recs}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rds}}\left(\mathsf{rds}\right) \right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{rd$$

$$EF_{recs}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) = \frac{EF_{0-2}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{0-2}\left(yr\right) + EF_{2-6}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{2-6}\left(yr\right) + EF_{6-16}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + EF_{16-30}\left(\frac{days}{year}\right) \times ED_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{ED_{0-2}\left(yr\right) + ED_{2-6}\left(yr\right) + ED_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + ED_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}$$

$$ET_{recs}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) = \frac{ET_{0-2}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{0-2}\left(yr\right) + ET_{2-6}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{2-6}\left(yr\right) + ET_{6-16}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + ET_{16-30}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}{ED_{0-2}\left(yr\right) + ED_{2-6}\left(yr\right) + ED_{6-16}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{6-16}\left(yr\right) + ET_{16-30}\left(\frac{hr}{day}\right) \times ED_{16-30}\left(yr\right)}$$

4.7 Recreational Surface Water

4.7.1 Noncarcinogenic - Child

The surface water land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of water,

$$SL_{rec-water-nc-ing}(\mu g/L) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recwc} (\text{years})\right) \times BW_{recwc} (15 \text{ Kg}) \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{\text{mg}}\right)}{EF_{recwc} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{recwc} (\text{years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_0 \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{kg-d}}\right)} \times IRW_{recwc} \left(\frac{0.05 \ \text{L}}{\text{hr}}\right) \times EV_{recwc} \left(\frac{\text{events}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ET_{recwc} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{\text{event}}\right)}$$





4.7.2 Noncarcinogenic - Adult

The surface water land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of water,

$$SL_{rec-water-nc-ing}(\mu g/L) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{rec}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{recwa}\left(\text{years}\right)\right) \times BW_{recwa}\left(70 \text{ Kg}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ }\mu g}{\text{ mg}}\right)}{EF_{recwa}\left(\frac{\text{ days}}{\text{ year}}\right) \times ED_{recwa}\left(\text{ years}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfD_{o}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{ kg-d}}\right)} \times IRW_{recwa}\left(\frac{0.05 \text{ L}}{\text{hr}}\right) \times EV_{recwa}\left(\frac{\text{ events}}{\text{ day}}\right) \times ET_{recwa}\left(\frac{\text{hours}}{\text{ events}}\right)}$$



4.7.3 Carcinogenic

The surface water land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

· incidental ingestion of water,

$$SL_{rec-water-ca-ing}(\mu gA) = \frac{TR \times AT_{recw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{\text{mg}}\right)}{CSF_{0} \left(\frac{mg}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IFW_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{L}{\text{kg}}\right)}$$
where:
$$IFW_{rec-adj} \left(\frac{L}{\text{kg}}\right) = \frac{EV_{recwc} \left(\frac{\text{events}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{recwc}(\text{years}) \times EF_{recwc} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ET_{recwc} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{\text{event}}\right) \times IRW_{recwc} \left(\frac{0.05 \text{ L}}{\text{hr}}\right)}{BW_{recwc}(\text{kg})} + \frac{EV_{recwa} \left(\frac{\text{events}}{\text{day}}\right) \times ED_{recwa}(\text{years}) \times EF_{recwa} \left(\frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ET_{recwa} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{\text{event}}\right) \times IRW_{recwa} \left(\frac{0.05 \text{ L}}{\text{hr}}\right)}{BW_{recwa}(\text{kg})}$$



4.7.4 Mutagenic

The surface water land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• incidental ingestion of water,

$$\begin{split} SL_{necwatermular (lpdA)} &= \frac{TRAT_{necw} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{10 \text{ day}} + LT (20 \text{ year})\right) + \left(\frac{1000 \text{ µS}}{10 \text{ gg}}\right)}{CS_{0}^{2} \left(\frac{100}{(100}{(\frac{100}{(\frac{100}{(\frac{100}{($$

•

4.7.5 Vinyl Chloride - Carcinogenic

The surface water land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

· incidental ingestion of water, SLrec-water-ca-vc-ing (µg/L) = $\frac{\left(\frac{\text{CSF}_{0}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times \text{IFW}_{\text{rec-adj}}\left(\frac{\text{L}}{\text{Kg}}\right) \times \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{1000 \ \mu\text{g}}\right)}{\text{AT}_{\text{rec}}\left(\frac{365 \ \text{days}}{\text{year}} \times \text{LT}(70 \ \text{years})\right)} +$ $\left(\frac{\text{CSF}_{o}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times \text{ET}_{\text{recwc}}\left(\frac{\text{hr}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \text{IRW}_{\text{recwc}}\frac{0.05 \text{ L}}{\text{hr}} \times \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{1000 \text{ \mug}}\right)}{\text{BW}_{\text{recwc}}(\text{kg})}\right) +$ where $\mathsf{IFW}_{\mathsf{rec}} \mathsf{rec}_{\mathsf{adj}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{L}}{\mathsf{Kg}} \right) = \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recwc}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}} \right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recwc}} \left(\mathsf{years} \right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recwc}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}} \right) \times \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recwc}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}} \right) \times \mathsf{IRW}_{\mathsf{recwc}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{0.05 \ L}}{\mathsf{hr}} \right)}{\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{recwc}} \left(\mathsf{Kg} \right)}}$ $\frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recwa}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}} \right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recwa}} \left(\mathsf{years} \right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recwa}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}} \right) \times \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recwa}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}} \right) \times \mathsf{IRW}_{\mathsf{recwa}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{0.05 \ L}}{\mathsf{hr}} \right)}{\mathsf{BW}_{\mathsf{recwa}} \left(\mathsf{Kg} \right)}}$ • dermal, $\mathsf{IF} \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recv+adj}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}} \right) \leq \mathsf{t}^* (\mathsf{hr}), \mathsf{then} \ \mathsf{SL}_{\mathsf{rec-water-vc-der}} (\mathsf{\mu}\mathsf{g}/\mathsf{L}) = \frac{\mathsf{DA}_{\mathsf{event}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{ug}}{\mathsf{cn}^2 \cdot \mathsf{event}} \right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mathsf{cm}^3}{\mathsf{L}} \right)}{2 \times \mathsf{FA} \times \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{cn}}{\mathsf{hr}} \right) \sqrt{\frac{6 \times \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{event}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}} \right) \times \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recv+adj}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}} \right)}{\pi}}$ or, $IF ET_{recwadj} \xrightarrow{\left(\text{hours} \\ \text{event} \right) > t^{*} \text{ (hr), then } SL_{rec-water-vc-der} (\mu g/L) = \frac{DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} - event} \right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L} \right)}{FA \times K_{p} \left(\frac{cm}{hr} \right) \times \left| \frac{ET_{recw-adj} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{event} \right)}{1 + B} + 2 \times T_{event} \left(\frac{\text{hours}}{event} \right) \times \left(\frac{1 + 3B + 3B^{2}}{(1 + B)^{2}} \right) \right|}$ $\overline{\left(\frac{\left(\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)^{-1}}{GIABS}\right)\times DFW_{rec-adj}\left(\frac{cm^{2}\text{-events}}{kg}\right)}{\frac{cm^{2}}{GIABS}}\right)}_{a_{T}} \left(\frac{\frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)^{-1}}{GIABS}}{EW_{recwa}\left(\frac{events}{day}\right)\times SA_{c}\left(cm^{2}\right)} + \frac{CSF_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{Kg-day}\right)^{-1}}{EW_{c}(Kg)\times\left(\frac{1000\ \mu g}{mg}\right)}\right)}$ $DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^2 - event} \right) =$ where: $\mathsf{DFVV}_{\mathsf{rec-adj}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{cn}^{2}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{event}}{\mathsf{kg}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recvec}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvec}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recvec}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{SA}_{\mathsf{c}}\left(\mathsf{cn}^{2}\right)}{\mathsf{BVV}_{\mathsf{c}}(\mathsf{Kg})} + \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{SA}_{\mathsf{a}}\left(\mathsf{cn}^{2}\right)}{\mathsf{BVV}_{\mathsf{a}}(\mathsf{Kg})} + \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{SA}_{\mathsf{a}}\left(\mathsf{cn}^{2}\right)}{\mathsf{BVV}_{\mathsf{a}}(\mathsf{Kg})} + \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\mathsf{supp}^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\mathsf{supp}^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\mathsf{supp}^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recvea}}\left(\mathsf{sup}^{2}\right) \times$ $\mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recvv4}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) = \frac{\left(\mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recvvc}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvvc}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right)\right) + \left(\mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{recvva}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hours}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvva}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right)\right)}{\mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvva}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recvva}}\left(\mathsf{years}\right)}$ • Total. $SL_{rec-water-ca-vc-tot} (\mu g A_{-}) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{rec-water-ca-vc-ing}} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{rec-water-ca-vc-der}}}}$

4.7.6 Supporting Equations

• Child

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{recwc}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{EW}_{\mathsf{recwc}}\left(\mathsf{kg}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EW}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{kg}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{EW}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{kg}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{recwc}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EF}_{0.2}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{EF}_{2.6}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recwc}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{0.2}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{EV}_{2.6}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recwc}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{0.2}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{EV}_{2.6}\left(\frac{\mathsf{events}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{recwc}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{ET}_{0.2}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{EV}_{2.6}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{ET}_{0.2}\left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{event}}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{cm}^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{cm}^{2}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{SA}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{cm}^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{cm}^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \mathsf{ED}_{0.2}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{2.6}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EP}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{ka}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{ka}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{ka}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EP}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{EV}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \times \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) + \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}}\left(\mathsf{v}\right) \\ & \mathsf{ED}_{\mathsf{event}$$

4.8 Tapwater

4.8.1 Noncarcinogenic-child

The tapwater land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• ingestion of water,

$$SL_{water-nc-ing} (\mu g \Lambda) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_{c} (15 \text{ Kg}) \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{\text{mg}}\right)}{EF_{r} \left(350 \ \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_{0} \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{kg-d}}\right)} \times IRW_{c} \left(\frac{1 \ L}{\text{day}}\right)}$$

FOR INDRGANICS: $SL_{water-nc-der} \left(\mu g/L\right) = \frac{DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{K_{p} \left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right) \times ET_{rwc} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hours}}{event}\right)}$ FOR ORGANICS: $IF ET_{rwc} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hours}}{event}\right) \leq t^{*} (hr), \text{then } SL_{water-nc-der} (\mu g/L) = \frac{DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{2 \times FA \times K_{p} \left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right) \sqrt{\frac{6 \times r_{event} \left(\frac{hours}{event}\right) \times ET_{rwc} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hours}}{event}\right)}{r}}}{r}$ or, $IF ET_{rwc} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hours}}{event}\right) > t^{*} (hr), \text{then } SL_{water-nc-der} (\mu g/L) = \frac{DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{r}$ where: $DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) > t^{*} (hr), \text{then } SL_{water-nc-der} (\mu g/L) = \frac{DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{1 + B} + 2 \times r_{event} \left(\frac{hours}{event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 + 3B + 3B^{2}}{(1 + B)^{2}}\right)}\right)$ where: $DA_{event} \left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) = \frac{THO \times AT_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ µg}}{\text{mg}}\right) \times SA_{c} (6,600 \text{ cm}^{2})}{\left(\frac{1}{RtD_{0}} \left(\frac{mg}{(K_{g} \text{ days})} \times G(ABS)}\right) \times EV_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ events}}{\text{day}} \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years}) \times EF \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times SA_{c} \left(6,600 \text{ cm}^{2}\right)}\right)}$ • inhalation of volatiles, $SL_{water-nc-inh} \left(\mu g/L\right) = \frac{THO \times AT_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ µg}}{\text{mg}}\right)}{EF_{r} \left(250 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{c} (6 \text{ years}) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ µg}}{\text{mg}}\right)}$

• Total. $SL_{res-water-nc-tot} (\mu g \Lambda_{-}) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{water-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{water-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{water-nc-inh}}}$

4.8.2 Noncarcinogenic-adult

The tapwater land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• ingestion of water,

$$SL_{water-nc-ing} (\mu g / L) = \frac{THQ \times AT_r \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_r (30 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_a (70 \text{ Kg}) \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{\text{mg}}\right)}{EF_r \left(350 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_r (30 \text{ years}) \times \frac{1}{RfD_o \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{kg-d}}\right)} \times IRW_a \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}}\right)}$$

FOR INDRGANICS:
SL_{water-nc-der} (µgL) =
$$\frac{DA_{event}\left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{K_{p}\left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right) \times ET_{rwa}\left(\frac{0.58 \text{ hours}}{event}\right)}$$
FOR ORGANICS:
IF ET_{rwa} $\left(\frac{0.58 \text{ hours}}{event}\right) \leq t^{*}$ (hr), then SL_{water-nc-der} (µgL) =
$$\frac{DA_{event}\left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{2 \times FA \times K_{p}\left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right) \sqrt{\frac{b \times r_{event}\left(\frac{hours}{event}\right) \times ET_{rwa}\left(\frac{0.58 \text{ hours}}{event}\right)}{\pi}}$$
or,
IF ET_{rwa} $\left(\frac{0.58 \text{ hours}}{event}\right) > t^{*}$ (hr), then SL_{water-nc-der} (µgL) =
$$\frac{DA_{event}\left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{FA \times K_{p}\left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right) \times \left[\frac{ET_{rwa}\left(\frac{0.58 \text{ hours}}{event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{1 + B} + 2 \times r_{event}\left(\frac{hours}{event}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 + 3B + 3B^{2}}{(1 + B)^{2}}\right)}$$
where:
DA_{event} $\left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2} \cdot event}\right) = \frac{TH0 \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{year} \times ED_{r}\left(30 \text{ years}\right) \times EF\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{year}\right) \times SA_{a}\left(18,000 \text{ cm}^{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{RfD_{q}\left(\frac{mg}{K_{q}\cdot day}\right) \times ED_{r}\left(30 \text{ years}\right) \times EF\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{year}\right) \times SA_{a}\left(18,000 \text{ cm}^{2}\right)}$
inhalation of volatiles,
SL_{water-nc-inh} (µg/L) = \frac{TH0 \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{year} \times ED_{r}\left(30 \text{ years}\right) \times EF\left(\frac{300 \text{ days}}{rg}\right) \times SA_{a}\left(\frac{0.5 \text{ L}}{m^{3}}\right)}

• Total.

 $SL_{res-water-nc-tot} (\mu g/L) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{water-nc-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{water-nc-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{water-nc-inh}}}$

4.8.3 Carcinogenic

The tapwater land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• ingestion of water,

$$\begin{split} \text{SL}_{\text{water-ca-ing}}\left(\mu g \Lambda\right) &= \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times \text{LT}\left(70 \text{ years}\right)\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{\text{mg}}\right)}{\text{EF}_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times \text{CSF}_{0}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times \left(\text{IFW}_{\text{adj}}\left(\frac{1.086 \text{ L-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)\right)} \end{split}$$

where:
$$\begin{aligned} \text{IFW}_{\text{adj}}\left(\frac{1.086 \text{ L-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}}\right) &= \frac{\text{ED}_{c}\left(6 \text{ years}\right) \times \text{IRW}_{c}\left(\frac{1 \text{ L}}{\text{day}}\right)}{\text{BW}_{c}\left(15 \text{ Kg}\right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{r} \cdot \text{ED}_{c}\left(24 \text{ years}\right) \times \text{IRW}_{a}\left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}}\right)}{\text{BW}_{a}\left(70 \text{ Kg}\right)} \end{split}$$

FOR INDRGANCS:

$$SL_{vater-ca-der}(\mu)L = \frac{D^{A}_{evert}\left(\frac{cug}{cm^{2}-evert}\right)^{e}\left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{K_{p}\left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right)^{e}ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{0.564 \text{ hours}}{evert}\right)}$$
FOR ORGANICS:

$$IF ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{hours}{evert}\right) \leq t^{*}(\mu)(hen SL_{vater-ca-der}(\mu)L) = \frac{D^{A}_{evert}\left(\frac{cug}{cm^{2}-evert}\right)^{e}\left(\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{3}}{L}\right)}{2 \times FA \times K_{p}\left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right)\sqrt{\frac{6 \times F}{evert}\left(\frac{hours}{evert}\right) \times ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{0.564 \text{ hours}}{evert}\right)}}$$
or,

$$IF ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{hours}{evert}\right) + t^{*}(\mu)(hen SL_{vater-ca-der}(\mu)L) = \frac{D^{A}_{evert}\left(\frac{cug}{cvert}\right) \times ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{0.564 \text{ hours}}{Evert}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \times 38 \times 38^{2}}{2}\right)}{\pi}$$

$$IF ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{hours}{evert}\right) + t^{*}(\mu)(hen SL_{vater-ca-der}(\mu)L) = \frac{D^{A}_{evert}\left(\frac{cug}{cvert}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \times 38 \times 38^{2}}{2}\right)}{FA \times K_{p}\left(\frac{cm}{hr}\right) \times \left(\frac{ET_{rwadg}\left(\frac{0.564 \text{ hours}}{evert}\right) + 2 \times F_{evert}\left(\frac{hours}{evert}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \times 38 \times 38^{2}}{(1 \times 9^{2})^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{CSF_{0}\left(\frac{K_{0} \text{ day}}{K_{0}}\right)^{2}{2}\right)} \times EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{year} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ day}}{mg}\right)$$
where:

$$D^{A}_{evert}\left(\frac{ug}{cm^{2}-evert}dy\right) = \frac{CV_{0}\left(\frac{1 \text{ everts}}{M}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{CSF_{0}\left(\frac{K_{0} \text{ day}}{K_{0}}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)} \times EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{year} \times LT(70 \text{ years})\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \text{ day}}{Mg}\right)}{H^{2}(1 \times 9^{2})} \times ED_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ everts}}{Mg}\right) \times ED_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ everts}}{Mg}\right)} \times ED_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ everts}}{Mg}\right) \times ED_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ everts}}{Mg}\right)}{H^{2}(1 \times 9^{2})} \times ED_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{Mg}\right)} \times DFW_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{Mg}\right) \times ED_{a}\left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{Mg}\right) \times SA_{a}\left(18,000 \text{ cm}^{2}\right)}{ED_{a}(30 \text{ wars})}$$
inhalation of volatiles,

$$ET_{vad}\left(\frac{0.684 \text{ hours}}{Mg}\right) = \frac{TR \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{Mg}\right) \times ET_{rwa}\left(\frac{0.58 \text{ hours}}{Mg}\right) \times ET_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{Wg}\right)}{ET_{vad}\left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{Mg}\right) \times IUR\left(\frac{\mu g}{Mg}\right)^{-1} \times K_{a}\left(\frac{0.55 \text{ hours}}{Mg}\right)}$$
inhalation of volatiles,

$$TR \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{Mg}\right) \times ET_{rwa}\left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{Mg}\right) \times IUR\left(\frac{\mu g}{Mg}\right)^{-1} \times K_{a}\left(\frac{0.55 \text{ hours}}{Mg}\right)}$$
inhalation of volatiles,

• T

 $SL_{water-ca-tot} (\mu g/L) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{SL_{water-ca-ing}} + \frac{1}{SL_{water-ca-der}} + \frac{1}{SL_{water-ca-inh}}}$

4.8.4 Mutagenic

The tapwater land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• ingestion of water,

$$\begin{split} \text{SL}_{\text{water-mu-ing}} & (\mu g/L) = \frac{\text{TR} \times \text{AT}_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times \text{LT} \left(70 \text{ years} \right) \right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{\text{mg}} \right)}{\text{CSF}_{0} \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{Kg-day}} \right)^{-1} \times \text{EF}_{r} \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \right) \times \text{IFWM}_{\text{adj}} \left(\frac{3.39 \text{ L-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}} \right)} \\ & \text{where:} \\ \text{IFWM}_{\text{adj-}} \left(\frac{3.39 \text{ L-Year}}{\text{Kg-day}} \right) = \frac{\text{ED}_{0-2} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 10}{\text{BW}_{c} \left(15 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{2-6} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 3}{\text{BW}_{c} \left(15 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times \text{IRW}_{a} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\text{yr} \right) \times 1}{\text{BW}_{a} \left(70 \text{ Kg} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right)} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1} + \frac{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}{\text{ED}_{16-30} \left(\frac{2 \text{ L}}{\text{day}} \right) \times 1}$$

4.8.5 Vinyl Chloride - Carcinogenic

The tapwater land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• ingestion of water,



4.8.6 Supporting Equations

• Child

$$ED_{c} (6 \text{ years}) = ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})$$

$$EW_{c} (15 \text{ kg}) = \frac{EW_{0,2} (15 \text{ kg}) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})} + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})$$

$$EF_{c} \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{ year}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ event}}{\text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})} + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})$$

$$EV_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ event}}{\text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ event}}{\text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}$$

$$EV_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{\text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{\text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}$$

$$ET_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{\text{ event}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{\text{ event}}\right) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}$$

$$ET_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{\text{ event}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{\text{ went}}\right) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}$$

$$EV_{c} \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}{ED_{0,2} (2 \text{ years}) + ED_{2,6} (4 \text{ years})}$$

$$EV_{a} \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} \left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2,6} \left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0,2} \left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2,6} \left(4 \text{ years}\right)}$$

$$EV_{a} \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} \left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2,6} \left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0,2} \left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2,6} \left(4 \text{ years}\right)}$$

$$EV_{a} \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{0,2} \left(\frac{1 \text{ hour}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) + ED_{0,2} \left(1 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{2,6} \left(4 \text{ years}\right)}{ED_{0,2} \left(2 \text{ years}\right) + ED_{1,6,30} \left(14 \text{ years}\right)}$$

$$EV_{a} \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) = \frac{EV_{6,16} \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{1 \text{ day}}\right) + ED_{6,16} \left(10 \text{ years}\right) + EU_{6,30} \left(\frac{1 \text{ years}}{1 \text$$

4.9 Resident air

4.9.1 Noncarcinogenic

The air land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• inhalation

$$SL_{res-air-nc} \left(\mu g/m^{3}\right) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{r} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{r} \left(30 \text{ years}\right)\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \mu g}{mg}\right)}{EF_{r} \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{r} \left(30 \text{ years}\right) \times ET_{ra} \left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{mg}{m3}\right)}$$

4.9.2 Carcinogenic

The air land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

inhalation

$$SL_{res-air-ca}\left(\mu g/m^{3}\right) = \frac{TR \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT\left(70 \text{ years}\right)\right)}{EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{r}\left(30 \text{ years}\right) \times ET_{ra}\left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times IUR\left(\frac{\mu g}{m^{3}}\right)^{-1}}$$

4.9.3 Vinyl Chloride - Carcinogenic

The air land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• inhalation

$$SL_{res-air-ca-vinyl chloride} \left(\frac{\mu g/m^3}{m^3} \right)^{-1} + \left(\frac{IUR \left(\frac{\mu g}{m^3} \right)^{-1} \times EF_r \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \right) \times ED_r \left(30 \text{ years} \right) \times ET_{ra} \left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{day} \right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}} \right) \right)}{AT_r \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT \left(70 \text{ years} \right) \right)} \right)$$

4.9.4 Mutagenic

The air land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• inhalation

$$SL_{res-air-mu}\left(\mu g/m^{3}\right) = \frac{TR \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT\left(70 \text{ years}\right)\right)}{EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ET_{ra}\left(\frac{24 \text{ hours}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}}\right) \times \left(\left(\frac{1000 \text{ gars}}{1000 \text{ gars}}\right)^{-1} \times 10^{-1} \text{ feb}_{2-6}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right)^{-1} \times 1^{-1} \text{ feb}_{2-6}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right) \times 1000 \text{ feb}_{2-16}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right)^{-1} \times 1^{-1} \text{ feb}_{2-16}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right) \times 10000 \text{ feb}_{2-16}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right)^{-1} \times 1^{-1} \text{ feb}_{2-16}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right) \times 10000 \text{ feb}_{2-16}\left(1000 \text{ gars}\right)^{-1} \times 1^{-1} \text{ feb}_{2-16}\left(1000 \text{ gars}$$

4.10 Worker air

4.10.1 Noncarcinogenic

The air land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• Inhalation

$$SL_{w-air-nc} \left(\mu g/m^{3}\right) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{w} \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{w} \left(25 \text{ years}\right)\right) \times \left(\frac{1000 \ \mu g}{\text{mg}}\right)}{EF_{w} \left(\frac{250 \ \text{days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{w} \left(25 \text{ years}\right) \times ET_{w} \left(\frac{8 \ \text{hr}}{24 \ \text{hr}}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfC \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{mg}}\right)}$$

4.10.2 Carcinogenic

The air land use equation, presented here, contains the following exposure routes:

• Inhalation

$$SL_{w-air-ca}\left(\mu g/m^{3}\right) = \frac{TR \times AT_{w}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT\left(70 \text{ years}\right)\right)}{EF_{w}\left(\frac{250 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{w}\left(25 \text{ years}\right) \times ET_{w}\left(\frac{8 \text{ hr}}{24 \text{ hr}}\right) \times IUR\left(\frac{\mu g}{m^{3}}\right)^{-1}$$

4.11 Ingestion of Fish

The ingestion of fish exposure route is not provided in the Generic Tables but SLs can be created by using the Calculator and the equations that follow:

4.11.1 Noncarcinogenic

The ingestion of fish equation, presented here, contains the following exposure route:

· consumption of fish.

$$SL_{res-fsh-nc-ing}(mg/kg) = \frac{THQ \times AT_{r}\left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times ED_{r}\left(30 \text{ years}\right)\right) \times BW_{a}\left(70 \text{ Kg}\right)}{EF_{r}\left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_{r}\left(30 \text{ year}\right) \times \frac{1}{RfD_{o}\left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)} \times IRF_{a}\left(\frac{5.4 \times 10^{4} \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6}\text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}$$

4.11.2 Carcinogenic

The ingestion of fish equation, presented here, contains the following exposure route:

· consumption of fish.

$$SL_{res-fsh-ca-ing} (mg/kg) = \frac{TR \times AT_r \left(\frac{365 \text{ days}}{\text{year}} \times LT (70 \text{ years})\right) \times BW_a (70 \text{ Kg})}{EF_r \left(\frac{350 \text{ days}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED_r (30 \text{ year}) \times CSF_o \left(\frac{mg}{\text{Kg-day}}\right)^{-1} \times IRF_a \left(\frac{5.4 \times 10^4 \text{ mg}}{\text{day}}\right) \times \frac{10^{-6} \text{Kg}}{1 \text{ mg}}}$$

Note: the consumption rate for fish is not age adjusted for this land use. Also the SL calculated for fish is not for soil, like for the agricultural land uses, but is for fish tissue.

4.12 Soil to Groundwater

These equations are used to calculate screening levels in soil (SSLs) that are protective of groundwater. SSLs are either back-calculated from protective risk-based ground water concentrations or based on MCLs. The SSLs were designed for use during the early stages of a site evaluation when information about subsurface conditions may be limited. Because of this constraint, the equations used are based on conservative, simplifying assumptions about the release and transport of contaminants in the subsurface. Migration of contaminants from soil to groundwater can be envisioned as a two-stage process: (1) release of contaminant in soil leachate and (2) transport of the contaminant through the underlying soil and aquifer to a receptor well. The SSL methodology considers both of these fate and transport mechanisms.

SSLs are provided for metals in the Generic Tables based on Kds from the Soil Screening Guidance Exhibit C-4. According to Appendix C,

"Exhibit C-4 provides pH-specific soil-water partition coefficients (Kd) for metals. Site-specific soil pH measurements can be used to select appropriate Kd values for these metals. Where site-specific soil pH values are not available, values corresponding to a pH of 6.8 should be used."

If a metal is not listed in Exhibit C-4, Kds were taken from <u>Baes, C. F. 1984</u>. Kds for organic coumponds are calculated from K_{oc} and the fraction of organic carbon in the soil (f_{oc}). Kds for metals are listed below.

Chemical	CAS	Kd	Reference
Aluminum	7429-90-5	1.50E+03	Baes, C.F. 1984
Antimony (metallic)	7440-36-0	4.50E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Arsenic, Inorganic	7440-38-2	2.90E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Barium	7440-39-3	4.10E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Beryllium and compounds	7440-41-7	7.90E+02	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Boron And Borates Only	7440-42-8	3.00E+00	Baes, C.F. 1984
Bromate	15541-45-4	7.50E+00	Baes, C.F. 1984
Cadmium (Diet)	7440-43-9	7.50E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Cadmium (Water)	7440-43-9	7.50E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Chlorine	7782-50-5	2.50E-01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Chromium (III) (Insoluble Salts)	16065-83-1	1.80E+06	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Chromium Salts	0-00-3	8.50E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984

Chromium VI (chromic acid mists)	18540-29-9	1.90E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Chromium VI (particulates)	18540-29-9	1.90E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Chromium, Total (1:6 ratio Cr VI : Cr III)	7440-47-3	1.80E+06	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Cobalt	7440-48-4	4.50E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Copper	7440-50-8	3.50E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Cyanide (CN-)	57-12-5	9.90E+00	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Fluorine (Soluble Fluoride)	7782-41-4	1.50E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984
Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN)	74-90-8	9.90E+00	Surrogate value from Cyanide
Iron	7439-89-6	2.50E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Lead and Compounds	7439-92-1	9.00E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984
Lithium	7439-93-2	3.00E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984
Magnesium	7439-95-4	4.50E+00	Baes, C.F. 1984
Manganese (Diet)	7439-96-5	6.50E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Manganese (Water)	7439-96-5	6.50E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Mercury (elemental)	7439-97-6	5.20E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Mercury, Inorganic Salts	0-01-7	5.20E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	2.00E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Nickel Soluble Salts	7440-02-0	6.50E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Phosphorus, White	7723-14-0	3.50E+00	Baes, C.F. 1984
Selenium	7782-49-2	5.00E+00	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Silver	7440-22-4	8.30E+00	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Sodium	7440-23-5	1.00E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984
Strontium, Stable	7440-24-6	3.50E+01	Baes, C.F. 1984
Thallium (Soluble Salts)	7440-28-0	7.10E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Thorium	0-23-2	1.50E+05	Baes, C.F. 1984
Tin	7440-31-5	2.50E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984
Titanium	7440-32-6	1.00E+03	Baes, C.F. 1984
Uranium (Soluble Salts)	0-23-8	4.50E+02	Baes, C.F. 1984
Vanadium and Compounds	0-06-6	1.00E+03	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Vanadium, Metallic	7440-62-2	1.00E+03	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Zinc (Metallic)	7440-66-6	6.20E+01	SSG 9355.4-23 July 1996
Zirconium	7440-67-7	3.00E+03	Baes, C.F. 1984

Because Kds vary greatly by soil type, it is highly recommended that site-specific Kds be determined and used to develop SSLs.

The more protective of the carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic SLs is selected to calculate the SSL.

4.12.1 Noncarcinogenic Tapwater Equations for SSLs

The tapwater equations, presented in Section 4.7.1, are used to calculate the noncarcinogenic SSLs for volatiles and nonvolatiles. If the contaminant is a volatile, ingestion, dermal and inhalation exposure routes are considered. If the contaminant is not a volatile, only ingestion and dermal are considered.

4.12.2 Carcinogenic Tapwater Equations for SSLs

The tapwater equations, presented in Section 4.7.2, are used to calculate the carcinogenic SSLs for volatiles and nonvolatiles. Sections 4.7.3 and 4.7.4 present the mutagenic and vinyl chloride equations, respectively. If the contaminant is a volatile, ingestion, dermal and inhalation exposure routes are considered. If the contaminant is not a volatile, only ingestion and dermal are considered.

4.12.3 Method 1 for SSL Determination

Method 1 employs a partitioning equation for migration to groundwater and defaults are provided. This method is used to generate the download default tables.

• method 1.

$$\begin{split} & \text{SSL}(\text{mg/kg}) = \text{C}_{\text{W}}\left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}}\right) \times \text{DAF} \times \left[\text{K}_{\text{d}}\left(\frac{\text{L}}{\text{kg}}\right) + \left(\frac{\left(\theta_{\text{W}}\left(\frac{\text{L}_{\text{water}}}{\text{L}_{\text{soil}}}\right) + \theta_{a}\left(\frac{\text{L}_{\text{air}}}{\text{L}_{\text{soil}}}\right) \times \text{H}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\ & \text{where:} \\ & \theta_{a}\left(\frac{\text{L}_{\text{air}}}{\text{L}_{\text{soil}}}\right) = n\left(\frac{\text{L}_{\text{water}}}{\text{L}_{\text{soil}}}\right) - \theta_{\text{W}}\left(\frac{0.3 \text{ L}_{\text{water}}}{\text{L}_{\text{soil}}}\right); \\ & n\left(\frac{\text{L}_{\text{pore}}}{\text{L}_{\text{soil}}}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{b}\left(\frac{1.5 \text{ kg}}{\text{L}}\right)}{\rho_{s}\left(\frac{2.65 \text{ kg}}{\text{L}}\right)}\right) \text{ and} \\ & \text{K}_{d}\left(\frac{\text{L}}{\text{kg}}\right) = \text{K}_{\text{oc}}\left(\frac{\text{L}}{\text{kg}}\right) \times f_{\text{oc}}\left(0.002 \text{ unitless}\right) \end{split}$$

4.12.4 Method 2 for SSL Determination

Method 2 employs a mass-limit equation for migration to groundwater and site-specific information is required. This method can be used in the calculator portion of this website.

$$SSL(mg/kg) = \frac{C_{w}\left(\frac{mg}{L}\right) \times DAF \times I\left(\frac{0.18 \text{ m}}{\text{year}}\right) \times ED(70 \text{ years})}{P_{b}\left(\frac{1.5 \text{ kg}}{L}\right) \times d_{s}(m)}$$

4.12.5 Determination of the Dilution Factor

The SSL values in the download tables are based on a dilution factor of 1. If one wishes to use the calculator to calculate screening levels using the SSL guidance for a source up to 0.5 acres, then a dilution factor of 20 can be used. If all of the parameters needed to calculate a site-specific dilution factor are known, they may be entered.

• dilution factor.

Dilution Attenuation Factor (DAF) = 1 +
$$\frac{K\left(\frac{m}{year}\right) \times i\left(\frac{m}{m}\right) \times d(m)}{I\left(\frac{0.18 \text{ m}}{year}\right) \times L(m)}$$

where:

d

$$(m) = (0.0112 \times L^{2} (m))^{0.5} + d_{a} \times \left[1 - e \times p \left(\frac{-L(m) \times I\left(\frac{m}{y \text{ ear}}\right)}{K\left(\frac{m}{y \text{ ear}}\right) \times I\left(\frac{m}{m}\right) \times d_{a}(m)}\right)\right]$$

4.13 Supporting Equations and Parameter Discussion

There are two parts of the above land use equations that require further explanation. They are the inhalation variables: the particulate emission factor (PEF) and the volatilization factor (VF).

4.13.1 Wind-driven Particulate Emission Factor (PEF)

Inhalation of contaminants adsorbed to respirable particles (PM10) was assessed using a default PEF equal to $1.36 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$. This equation relates the contaminant concentration in soil with the concentration of respirable particles in the air due to fugitive dust emissions from contaminated soils. The generic PEF was derived using default values that correspond to a receptor point concentration of approximately $0.76 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$. The relationship is derived by Cowherd (1985) for a rapid assessment procedure applicable to a typical hazardous waste site, where the surface contamination provides a relatively continuous and constant potential for emission over an extended period of time (e.g., years). This represents an annual average emission rate based on wind erosion that should be compared with chronic health criteria; it is not appropriate for evaluating the potential for more acute exposures. Definitions of the input variables are in Table 1.

With the exception of specific heavy metals, the PEF does not appear to significantly affect most soil screening levels. The equation forms the basis for deriving a generic PEF for the inhalation pathway. For more details regarding specific parameters used in the PEF model, refer to <u>Soil Screening</u> <u>Guidance: Technical Background Document</u>. The use of alternate values on a specific site should be justified and presented in an Administrative Record if considered in CERCLA remedy selection.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{PEF}_{\mathsf{w}}\!\left(\frac{m_{\mathsf{air}}^{3}}{\mathsf{kg}_{\mathsf{soil}}}\right) &= \frac{\mathsf{Q}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{wind}}}\!\left(\!\frac{\left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{m}^{2} \cdot \mathsf{s}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathsf{kg}}{\mathsf{m}^{3}}\right)}\right) \times \frac{3,\!600\left(\frac{\mathsf{s}}{\mathsf{hour}}\right)}{0.036\times(1\text{-V})\times\left(\frac{\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{m}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{s}}\right)}{\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{t}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{s}}\right)}\right)^{3}\times\mathsf{F}(\mathsf{x})}\\ \text{and: } \frac{\mathsf{Q}}{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{wind}}} \!=\!\!\mathsf{A}\!\times\!\exp\!\left[\frac{\left(\mathsf{InA}_{\mathsf{s}}\left(\mathsf{acre}\right)\cdot\mathsf{B}\right)^{2}}{\mathsf{C}}\right]} \end{split}$$

Note: the generic PEF evaluates wind-borne emissions and does not consider dust emissions from traffic or other forms of mechanical disturbance that could lead to greater emissions than assumed here.

4.13.2 Vehicle traffic-driven Particulate Emission Factor (PEF_{sc})

The equation to calculate the subchronic particulate emission factor (PEF_{sc}) is significantly different from the residential and non-residential PEF equations. The PEF_{sc} focuses exclusively on emissions from truck traffic on unpaved roads, which typically contribute the majority of dust emissions during construction. This equation requires estimates of parameters such as the number of days with at least 0.01 inches of rainfall, the mean vehicle weight, and the sum of fleet vehicle distance traveled during construction.

The number of days with at least 0.01 inches of rainfall can be estimated using Exhibit 5-2 in the <u>supplemental soil screening guidance</u>. Mean vehicle weight (W) can be estimated by assuming the numbers and weights of different types of vehicles. For example, assuming that the daily unpaved road traffic consists of 20 two-ton cars and 10 twenty-ton trucks, the mean vehicle weight would be:

W = [(20 cars x 2 tons/car) + (10 trucks x 20 tons/truck)]/30 vehicles = 8 tons

The sum of the fleet vehicle kilometers traveled during construction (\sum VKT) can be estimated based on the size of the area of surface soil contamination, assuming the configuration of the unpaved road, and the amount of vehicle traffic on the road. For example, if the area of surface soil contamination is 0.5 acres (or 2,024 m2), and one assumes that this area is configured as a square with the unpaved road segment dividing the square evenly, the road length would be equal to the square root of 2,024 m2, 45 m (or 0.045 km). Assuming that each vehicle travels the length of the road once per day, 5 days per week for a total of 6 months, the total fleet vehicle kilometers traveled would be:

 \sum VKT = 30 vehicles x 0.045 km/day x (52 wks/yr \div 2) x 5 days/wk = 175.5 km

г

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{PEF}_{so}\left(\frac{m_{air}^{3}}{kg_{soil}}\right) &= \frac{\mathsf{Q}}{\mathsf{C}_{sr}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{m}^{2}}\cdot\mathsf{s}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{\mathsf{m}^{3}}\right)}\right) \times \frac{1}{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{D}}} \times \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{D}}}\right)^{0.8} \times \left(\frac{\mathsf{W}(\mathsf{tons})}{3}\right)^{0.4} \times \frac{\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{s}) \times \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{R}}\left(\mathsf{m}^{2}\right)}{365\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \cdot \mathsf{p}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{year}}\right)} \times 281.9 \times \sum \mathsf{VKT}(\mathsf{km}) \\ &= \frac{\mathsf{Q}}{\mathsf{C}_{sr}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{m}^{2}}\cdot\mathsf{s}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathsf{kg}}{\mathsf{m}^{3}}\right)}\right) = \mathsf{A} \times \mathsf{exp}\left[\frac{\left(\mathsf{InA}_{\mathsf{s}}\left(\mathsf{acre}\right)\cdot\mathsf{B}\right)^{2}}{\mathsf{C}}\right] \\ &= \mathsf{Where:} \; \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{R}}\left(\mathsf{m}^{2}\right) = \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{R}}\left(\mathsf{ft}\right) \times \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{R}}\left(\mathsf{20}\;\mathsf{ft}\right) \times 0.092903\left(\frac{\mathsf{m}^{2}}{\mathsf{ft}^{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathsf{number of }\mathsf{cars} \times \frac{\mathsf{tons}}{\mathsf{car}} + \mathsf{number of }\mathsf{trucks} \times \frac{\mathsf{tons}}{\mathsf{truck}}\right) \\ &= \mathsf{where:} \; \mathsf{W}\left(\mathsf{tons}\right) = \frac{\mathsf{number of }\mathsf{cars} \times \frac{\mathsf{tons}}{\mathsf{car}} + \mathsf{number of }\mathsf{trucks} \times \frac{\mathsf{tons}}{\mathsf{truck}}}{\mathsf{total }\mathsf{vehicles}} \\ &= \mathsf{where:} \; \mathsf{VKT}\left(\mathsf{km}\right) = \mathsf{total }\mathsf{vehicles} \times \mathsf{distance}\left(\frac{\mathsf{km}}{\mathsf{day}}\right) \times \mathsf{frequency}\left(\frac{\mathsf{weeks}}{\mathsf{year}}\right) \times \mathsf{days}\left(\frac{\mathsf{days}}{\mathsf{week}}\right) \end{split}$$

4.13.3 Other than vehicle traffic-driven Particulate Emission Factor (PEF'sc)

Other than emissions from unpaved road traffic, the construction worker may also be exposed to particulate matter emissions from wind erosion, excavation soil dumping, dozing, grading, and tilling or similar operations PEF'_{sc} . These operations may occur separately or concurrently and the duration of each operation may be different. For these reasons, the total unit mass emitted from each operation is calculated separately and the sum is normalized over the entire area of contamination and over the entire time during which construction activities take place. Equation E-26 in the supplemental soil screening guidance was used.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{PEF}_{sc}^{'} \left(\frac{m_{alr}^{2}}{kq_{got}}\right) &= \frac{O}{C_{ga}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}}, \frac{g}{m^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{2}}\right)} \times \frac{1}{F_{D}} \times \frac{1}{<_{1}^{'} > \left(\frac{g}{m^{2}-s}\right)} \\ \mathsf{where:} \quad \frac{O}{C_{ga}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}-s}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{2}}\right)}\right) &= \mathsf{A} \times \mathsf{esp} \left[\frac{\left(\mathsf{In} \ \mathsf{A}_{c} \left(\mathsf{acre}\right) \cdot \mathsf{B}\right)^{2}}{C}\right] \\ \mathsf{and} \\ < i_{1}^{'} > \left(\frac{g}{m^{2}-s}\right) &= \frac{M_{wind}^{C} \left(g\right) + \mathsf{M}_{excav} \left(g\right) + \mathsf{M}_{doz} \left(g\right) + \mathsf{M}_{grade} \left(g\right) + \mathsf{M}_{till} \left(g\right)}{\mathsf{A}_{surf} \left(m^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{T} \left(s\right)} \\ \mathsf{where:} \\ \mathsf{M}_{wind}^{\mathsf{PC}} \left(g\right) = 0.036 \times \left(1 \cdot \mathsf{V}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mathsf{U}_{m} \left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{s}\right)}{\mathsf{U}_{1} \left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{s}\right)}\right)^{3} \times \mathsf{F} \left(x\right) \times \mathsf{A}_{surf} \left(m^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{ED} \left(yr\right) \times 6760 \left(\frac{\mathsf{hr}}{\mathsf{yr}}\right) \\ \mathsf{and} \\ \mathsf{M}_{excav} \left(g\right) = 0.35 \times 0.0016 \times \frac{\left(\frac{\mathsf{U}_{m} \left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{s}\right)}{\left(\frac{\mathsf{M}_{m-excav} \left(\mathfrak{R}\right)\right)^{1/4}} \times \mathsf{P}_{soil} \left(\frac{\mathsf{Mg}}{\mathfrak{m}^{3}}\right) \times \mathsf{A}_{excav} \left(m^{2}\right) \times \mathsf{d}_{excav} \left(m\right) \times \mathsf{N}_{A-dump} \times 1000 \left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{Kg}}\right) \\ \mathsf{and} \\ \mathsf{M}_{doz} \left(g\right) = 0.75 \times \frac{0.45 \times \mathsf{s}_{doz} \left(\mathfrak{N}\right)^{1.5}}{\left(\mathsf{M}_{m-doz} \left(\mathfrak{N}\right)\right)^{1/4}} \times \frac{\Sigma \, \forall \mathsf{CT}_{doz} \left(\mathsf{km}\right)}{\mathsf{S}_{doz} \left(\mathsf{km}\right)} \times 1000 \left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{kg}}\right) \\ \mathsf{and} \\ \mathsf{M}_{grade} \left(g\right) = 0.60 \times 0.0056 \times \mathsf{S}_{grade} \left(\frac{\mathsf{km}}{\mathsf{hr}}\right)^{2.0} \times \Sigma \, \forall \mathsf{CT}_{grade} \left(\mathsf{km}\right) \times 1000 \left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{kg}}\right) \\ \mathsf{and} \\ \mathsf{M}_{grade} \left(g\right) = 1.1 \times \mathsf{s}_{Bil} \left(\mathfrak{H}^{\mathsf{N}}\right)^{O.6} \times \mathsf{A}_{till} \left(\mathsf{acres}\right) \times 4047 \left(\frac{m^{2}}{\mathsf{acre}}\right) \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{\mathsf{ha}}{\mathsf{m}^{2}}\right) \times 1000 \left(\frac{\mathsf{g}}{\mathsf{kg}}\right) \times \mathsf{N}_{A-till} \\ \mathsf{where:} \\ \mathsf{where:} \Sigma \, \forall \mathsf{KT}_{grade} \left(\mathsf{km}\right) = \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{C}} - \mathsf{grade} \left(\mathsf{acres}\right) \times 4047 \left(\frac{m^{2}}{\mathsf{acre}}\right) \times \frac{1}{\mathsf{B}_{g}(\mathsf{m}}} \times \frac{1}{1000 \left(\frac{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{km}}} \times \mathsf{N}_{A-doz} \\ \mathsf{M}_{A-doz} \\ \mathsf{M}_{$$

4.13.4 Infinite Source Chronic Volatilization Factor (VF)

The soil-to-air VF is used to define the relationship between the concentration of the contaminant in soil and the flux of the volatilized contaminant to air. VF is calculated from the equation below using chemical-specific properties and either site-measured or default values for soil moisture, dry bulk density, and fraction of organic carbon in soil. The <u>Soil Screening Guidance: User's Guide</u> describes how to develop site measured values for these parameters.

VF is only calculated for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). VOCs, for the purpose of this guidance, generally are chemicals with a Henry's Law constant greater than or equal to 1×10^{-5} atm-m³/mole and a molecular weight of less than 200 g/mole. Exceptions are: Mercury (elemental), Pyrene, Dibromochloromethane and Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-. The VOC status of a chemical is important for some exposure routes. According to RAGS Part E, default dermal absorption values are not provided for VOCs. Without dermal absorption values, the dermal exposure to soil route cannot be quantified. For the purposes of this guidance, dermal exposure to soil is only quantified if RAGS Part E provides a dermal absorption value in Exhibit 3-4 or the website, regardless of VOC status. The rationale for this is that in the considered soil exposure scenarios, volatile organic compounds would tend to be volatilized from the soil on skin and should be accounted for via inhalation routes in the combined exposure pathway analysis. Further, a chemical must be a VOC in order to be included in the calculation of tapwater inhalation.

$$\begin{split} & \nabla F_{s}\left(\frac{m_{air}^{3}}{kg_{soil}}\right) = \frac{\frac{Q}{C_{vol}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{m}{m^{2}}\cdot s\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}\right)}\right) \times \left(3.14 \times D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) \times T\left(s\right)\right)^{1/2} \times 10^{-4}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{cm^{2}}\right)}{2 \times \rho_{b}\left(\frac{g}{cm^{3}}\right) \times D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right)} \\ & \text{where:} \quad \frac{Q}{C_{vol}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}}\cdot s\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}\right)}\right) = A \times exp\left[\frac{\left(\ln A_{s}\left(acre\right) - B\right)^{2}}{C}\right] \\ & \text{where:} \quad D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) = \frac{\left(\theta_{a}\left(\frac{L_{air}}{L_{soil}}\right)^{10/3} \times D_{ia}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) \times H' + \theta_{w}\left(\frac{0.15 L_{water}}{L_{soil}}\right)^{10/3} \times D_{iw}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right)\right) / n^{2}\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) \\ & \text{where:} \quad B_{a}\left(\frac{L_{air}}{L_{soil}}\right) = n\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) \theta_{w}\left(\frac{0.15 L_{water}}{L_{soil}}\right) and n\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{b}\left(\frac{1.5g}{cm^{3}}\right)}{\rho_{s}\left(\frac{2.65 g}{cm^{3}}\right)}\right) \\ & \text{where:} \quad K_{d}\left(\frac{cm^{3}}{g}\right) = f_{oc}\left(\frac{g}{g}\right) \times K_{oc}\left(\frac{cm^{3}}{g}\right) only for organics. \end{split}$$

Diffusivity in Water (cm²/s)

Diffusivity in water can be calculated from the chemical's molecular weight and density, using the following correlation equation based on WATER9 (U.S. EPA, 2001):

$$D_{iw}\left(\frac{cm^2}{s}\right) = 0.0001518 \times \left(\frac{T^{\circ}C + 273.16}{298.16}\right) \times \left(\frac{MW\left(\frac{g}{mol}\right)}{\rho\left(\frac{g}{cm^3}\right)}\right)^{-0.6}$$

200

where

T typically = 25° C

If density is not available,

$$D_{iw}\left(\frac{cm^2}{s}\right) = 0.000222 \times (MW)^{-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}$$

If density is not available, diffusivity in water can be calculated using the correlation equation based on U.S. EPA (1987). The value for diffusivity in water must be greater than zero. No maximum limit is enforced.

Diffusivity in Air (cm²/s).

Diffusivity in air can be calculated from the chemical's molecular weight and density, using the following correlation equation based on WATER9 (U.S. EPA, 2001):

$$D_{ia}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) = \frac{\left(1.00229\times\left(T^{0}C+273.16\right)^{1.5}\times\left(0.034+\left(\frac{1}{MW\left(\frac{g}{mol}\right)}\right)\times MW_{cor}\right)^{1.5}\right)}{\left(\left(\frac{MW\left(\frac{g}{mol}\right)}{2.5\times\rho\left(\frac{g}{cm^{3}}\right)}\right)^{0.333}+1.8\right)^{2}}$$

where

T typically = 25° C

 $MW_{cor} = (1-0.000015 \times MW^2)$ If MW_{cor} is less than 0.4, then MW_{cor} is set to 0.4.

If density is not available,

$$D_{ia}\left(\frac{cm^2}{s}\right) = 1.9 \times \left(MW\left(\frac{g}{mol}\right)^{-} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right) \text{ except for dioxins use, } D_{ia}\left(\frac{cm^2}{s}\right) = \left(\frac{154}{MW\left(\frac{g}{mol}\right)}\right)^{0.5} \times 0.068$$

If density is not available, diffusivity in air can be calculated using the correlation equation based on U.S. EPA (1987). For dioxins, diffusivity in air can be calculated from the molecular weight using the correlation equation based on EPA's Dioxin Reassessment (U.S. EPA, 2000).

4.13.5 Mass-limit Chronic Volatilization Factor (VF)

This Equation presents a model for calculating mass-limit SSLs for the outdoor inhalation of volatiles. This model can be used only if the depth and area of contamination are known or can be estimated with confidence. This equation is presented in the <u>Soil Screening Guidance: User's Guide</u> and the <u>Supplemental Soil Screening Guidance</u>.

~ -

Use of infinite source models to estimate volatilization can violate mass balance considerations, especially for small sources. To address this concern, the Soil Screening Guidance includes a model for calculating a mass-limit SSL that provides a lower limit to the SSL when the area and depth (i.e., volume) of the source are known or can be estimated reliably.

A mass-limit SSL represents the level of contaminant in the subsurface that is still protective when the entire volume of contamination volatilizes over the 30-year exposure duration and the level of contaminant at the receptor does not exceed the health-based limit.

To use mass-limit SSLs, determine the area and depth of the source, calculate both standard and mass-limit SSLs, compare them for each chemical of concern and select the higher of the two values.

Note that the equation requires a site-specific determination of the average depth of contamination in the source. Step 3, in the SSG, provides guidance for conducting subsurface sampling to determine source depth. Where the actual average depth of contamination is uncertain, a conservative estimate should be used (e.g., the maximum possible depth in the unsaturated zone). At many sites, the average water table depth may be used unless there is reason to believe that contamination extends below the water table. In this case SSLs do not apply and further investigation of the source in question is needed.

mass limit model for chronic exposure

$$\nabla F_{s} \left(\frac{m_{air}^{3}}{kg_{soil}} \right) = \frac{Q}{C_{vol}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2} \cdot s} \right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}} \right)} \right) \times \frac{\left[T \left(year \right) \times \left(3.15 \times 10^{7} \left(\frac{s}{year} \right) \right) \right]}{\rho_{b} \left(\frac{Mg}{m^{3}} \right) \times d_{s} \left(m \right) \times 10^{6} \left(\frac{g}{Mg} \right)}$$

$$\text{where:} \quad \frac{Q}{C_{vol}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2} \cdot s} \right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}} \right)} \right) = A \times exp \left[\frac{\left(\ln A_{s} \left(acre \right) \cdot B \right)^{2}}{C} \right]$$

4.13.6 Unlimited Source Subchronic Volatilization Factor for Construction Worker (VFsc)

Equation 5-14 of the <u>supplemental soil screening guidance</u> is appropriate for calculating the soil-to-air volatilization factor (VF_{sc}) that relates the concentration of a contaminant in soil to the concentration in air resulting from volatilization. The equation for the subchronic dispersion factor for volatiles, Q/C_{sa} , is presented in Equation 5-15 of the <u>supplemental soil screening guidance</u>. Q/C_{sa} was derived using EPA's SCREEN3 dispersion model for a hypothetical site under a wide range of meteorological conditions. Unlike the Q/C values for the other scenarios, the Q/C_{sa} for the construction scenario's simple site-specific approach can be modified only to reflect different site sizes between 0.5 and 500 acres; it cannot be modified for climatic zone. Site managers conducting a detailed site-specific analysis for the construction scenario can develop a site-specific Q/C value by running the SCREEN3 model. Further details on the derivation of Q/C_{sa} can be found in Appendix E of the <u>supplemental soil screening guidance</u>.

unlimited source model for subchronic exposure

$$\begin{split} & \forall F_{so}\left(\frac{m_{air}^{3}}{kg_{soil}}\right) = \frac{Q}{C_{sa}}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}\right)}\right] \times \frac{1}{F_{D}} \times \left[\frac{\left(3.14 \times D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) \times T(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 \times \rho_{b}\left(\frac{1.5g}{cm^{3}}\right) \times D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right)}\right] \times 10^{-4}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{cm^{2}}\right) \\ & \text{where:} \quad \frac{Q}{C_{sa}}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}\right)}\right] = A \times \exp\left[\frac{\left(\ln A_{s}\left(\operatorname{acre}\right) - B\right)^{2}}{C}\right] \\ & \text{where:} \quad D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) = \frac{\left(\theta_{a}\left(\frac{L_{air}}{L_{soil}}\right)^{1003} \times D_{ia}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) \times H' + \theta_{w}\left(\frac{0.15 \ L_{water}}{L_{soil}}\right)^{1003} \times D_{iw}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right)\right) / n^{2}\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) \\ & \text{where:} \quad D_{A}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) = \frac{\left(\theta_{a}\left(\frac{L_{air}}{L_{soil}}\right)^{1003} \times D_{ia}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right) \times H' + \theta_{w}\left(\frac{0.15 \ L_{water}}{L_{soil}}\right)^{1003} \times D_{iw}\left(\frac{cm^{2}}{s}\right)\right) / n^{2}\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) \\ & \text{where:} \quad \theta_{a}\left(\frac{L_{air}}{L_{soil}}\right) = n\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) - \theta_{w}\left(\frac{0.15 \ L_{water}}{L_{soil}}\right) \text{ and } n\left(\frac{L_{pore}}{L_{soil}}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{b}\left(\frac{1.5 \ g}{cm^{3}}\right)}{\rho_{s}\left(\frac{2.65 \ g}{cm^{3}}\right)}\right) \\ & \text{and:} \quad K_{d}\left(\frac{cm^{3}}{g}\right) = f_{oo}\left(\frac{g}{g}\right) \times K_{oo}\left(\frac{cm^{3}}{g}\right) \text{ only for organics.} \end{split}$$

4 Z T

4.13.7 Mass-limit Subchronic Volatilization Factor for Construction Worker (VFsc)

Because the equations developed to calculate SSLs for the inhalation of volatiles outdoors assume an infinite source, they can violate mass-balance considerations, especially for small sources. To address this concern, a mass-limit SSL equation for this pathway may be used (Equation 5-17 of the <u>supplemental soils screening</u> <u>guidance</u>). This equation can be used only when the volume (i.e., area and depth) of the contaminated soil source is known or can be estimated with confidence. As discussed above, the simple site-specific approach for calculating construction scenario SSLs uses the same emission model for volatiles as that used in the residential and non-residential scenarios. However, the conservative nature of this model (i.e., it assumes all contamination is at the surface) makes it sufficiently protective of construction worker exposures to volatiles.

mass limit model for subchronic exposure

where:
$$\nabla F_{sc}\left(\frac{m_{air}^{3}}{kg_{soil}}\right) = \frac{Q}{C_{sa}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}-s}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}\right)}\right) \times \frac{1}{F_{D}} \times \frac{T(year) \times \left(3.15 \times 10^{7} \left(\frac{s}{year}\right)\right)}{\rho_{b}\left(\frac{1.5 \text{ Mg}}{m^{3}}\right) \times d_{s}(m) \times 10^{6} \left(\frac{g}{\text{Mg}}\right)}$$

where: $\frac{Q}{C_{sa}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{g}{m^{2}-s}\right)}{\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}\right)}\right) = A \times exp\left[\frac{\left(\ln A_{s}(acre) - B\right)^{2}}{C}\right]$

4.13.8 Dermal Contact with Water Supporting Equations

- B = Dimensionless ratio of the permeability coefficient of a compound through the stratum corneum relative to its permeability coefficient across the viable epidermis (ve)
- t^* = Time to reach steady-state (hr) = 2.4 τ_{event}
- $\tau_{event} = Lag \text{ time per event (hr/event)}$

5. Special Considerations

Most of the SLs are readily derived by referring to the above equations. However, there are some cases for which the standard equations do not apply and/or external adjustments to the SLs are recommended. These special case chemicals are discussed below.

5.1 Cadmium

?

IRIS presents an oral "water" RfD for cadmium for use in assessment of risks to water of 0.0005 mg/kg-day. IRIS also presents an oral "food" RfD for cadmium for use in assessment of risks to soil and biota of 0.001 mg/kg-day. The SLs for Cadmium are based on the appropriate oral RfD based on the media. The "water" RfD is slightly more conservative (by a factor of 2) than the RfD for "food" and it could be argued that the more conservative RfD should be used to develop screening levels. RAGS Part E, in Exhibit 4-1, presents a GIABS for soil of 2.5% and for water of 5%.

5.2 Lead

EPA has no consensus RfD or CSF for inorganic lead, so it is not possible to calculate SLs as we have done for other chemicals. EPA considers lead to be a special case because of the difficulty in identifying the classic "threshold" needed to develop an RfD.

EPA therefore evaluates lead exposure by using blood-lead modeling, such as the Integrated Exposure-Uptake Biokinetic Model (IEUBK). The EPA Office of Solid Waste has also released a detailed directive on risk assessment and cleanup of residential soil lead. The directive recommends that soil lead levels less than 400 mg/kg are generally safe for residential use. Above that level, the document suggests collecting data and modeling blood-lead levels with the IEUBK model. For the purposes of screening, therefore, 400 mg/kg is recommended for residential soils. For water, we suggest 15 μ g/L (the EPA Action Level in water), and for air, the National Ambient Air Quality Standard of 0.15 μ g/m³.

However, caution should be used when both water and soil are being assessed. The IEUBK model shows that if the average soil concentration is 400 mg/kg, an average tap water concentration above 5 μ g/L would yield more than 5% of the population above a 10 μ g/dL blood-lead level. If the average tap water concentration is 15 μ g/L, an average soil concentration greater than 250 mg/kg would yield more than 5% of the population above a 10 μ g/dL blood-lead level.

EPA uses a second Adult Lead Model to estimate SLs for an industrial setting. This SL is intended to protect a fetus that may be carried by a pregnant female worker. It is assumed that a cleanup goal that is protective of a fetus will also afford protection for male or female adult workers. The model equations were developed to calculate cleanup goals such that the fetus of a pregnant female worker would not likely have an unsafe concentration of lead in blood.

For more information on EPA's lead models and other lead-related topics, please go to Addressing Lead at Superfund Sites.

5.3 Manganese

The IRIS RfD (0.14 mg/kg-day) includes manganese from all sources, including diet. The author of the IRIS assessment for manganese recommended that the dietary contribution from the normal U.S. diet (an upper limit of 5 mg/day) be subtracted when evaluating non-food (e.g., drinking water or soil) exposures to manganese, leading to a RfD of 0.071 mg/kg-day for non-food items. The explanatory text in IRIS further recommends using a modifying factor of 3 when calculating risks associated with non-food sources due to a number of uncertainties that are discussed in the IRIS file for manganese, leading to a RfD of 0.024 mg/kg-day. This modified RfD has been used in the derivation of some manganese screening levels for soil and water. For more information regarding the Manganese RfD, users are advised to contact the author of the IRIS assessment on Manganese.

5.4 Vanadium Compounds

The oral RfD toxicity value for Vanadium, used in this website, is derived from the IRIS oral RfD for Vanadium Pentoxide by factoring out the molecular weight (MW) of the oxide ion. Vanadium Pentoxide (V_20_5) has a molecular weight of 181.88. The two atoms of Vanadium contribute 56% of the MW. Vanadium Pentoxide's oral RfD of 9E-03 mg/kg-day multiplied by 56% gives a Vanadium oral RfD of 5.04E-03 mg/kg-day.

5.5 Uranium

"Uranium Soluble Salts" uses the IRIS oral RfD of 3E-03 mg/kg-day. For the insoluble salts of Uranium, the oral RfD of 6E-04 mg/kg-day may be used from the Federal Register, Thursday December 7, 2000. Part II, Environmental Protection Agency. 40 CFR Parts 9, 141, and 142 - National Primary Drinking Water Regulations; Radionuclides; Final Rule. p 76713.

5.6 Chromium (VI)

It is recommended that valence-specific data for chromium be collected when chromium is likely to be an important contaminant at a site, and when hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) may exist. For Cr(VI), IRIS shows an air unit risk of 1.2E-2 per (μ g/m³). While the exact ratio of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in the data used to derive the IRIS air unit risk value is not known, it is likely that both Cr(VI) and Cr(III) were present. The RSLs calculated using the IRIS air unit risk assume that the Cr(VI) to Cr(III) ratio is 1:6. Because of various sources of uncertainty, this assumption may overestimate or underestimate the risk calculated. Users are invited to review the document "Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium" in support of the summary information on Cr(VI) on IRIS to determine whether they believe this ratio applies to their projects and to consider consulting with an EPA regional risk assessor.

In the RSL Table, the Cr(VI) specific value (assuming 100% Cr(VI)) is derived by multiplying the IRIS Cr(VI) value by 7. This is considered to be a healthprotective assumption, and is also consistent with the State of California's interpretation of the Mancuso study that forms the basis of Cr(VI)'s estimated cancer potency.

If you are working on a chromium site, you may want to contact the appropriate regulatory officials in your region to determine what their position is on this issue.

The Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 100 µg/L for "Chromium (total)", from the EPA's MCL listing is applied to the "Chromium, Total" analyte on this website.

Tier 3 sources were used to derive the screening levels for Cr(VI).

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) determined that Cr(VI) by ingestion is likely to be carcinogenic in humans. NJDEP derived an oral cancer slope factor, based on cancer bioassays conducted by the National Toxicology Program (http://www.state.nj.us/dep/dsr/chromium/soil-cleanup-derivation.pdf). The New Jersey assessment did not make a determination that Cr(VI) was mutagenic by mode of action for carcinogenesis.

EPA's <u>Office of Pesticide Programs</u> (OPP) made a determination that Cr(VI) has a mutagenic mode of action for carcinogenesis in all cells regardless of type, following administration via drinking water. OPP recommended that Age-Dependent Adjustment Factors (ADAFs) be applied when assessing cancer risks from early-life exposure (< 16 years of age). This determination was reviewed by OPP's Cancer Assessment Review Committee and published in a peer review journal).

Therefore, in 2009 the RSL workgroup adopted the Tier III NJDEP values and the OPP recommendation with respect to mutagenicity. More recently, in 2011, external peer reviewers provided input on the EPA's Office of Research and Development Integrated Risk Information System draft Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium (http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris_drafts/recordisplay.cfm?deid=221433). The majority of reviewers questioned the evidence used to support a

mutagenic mode of action for carcinogenesis for Cr(VI). Furthermore, in 2011 California Environmental Protection Agency finalized its drinking water Public Health Goal for Cr(VI). CalEPA's Technical Support Document concluded in numerous studies that Cr(VI) is both genotoxic and mutagenic. (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/water/phg/072911Cr6PHG.html)

Therefore, the RSL workgroup acknowledges that there is uncertainty associated with the assessment of hexavalent chromium. However, no updated consensus IRIS assessment (Tier I) has yet appeared, and chromium is still under review by the IRIS program. With respect to RSLs, the more health-protective approach of applying ADAFs for early life exposure via ingestion, dermal and inhalation was used to calculate screening levels for all exposure pathways. Application of ADAFs for all exposure pathways results in more health-protective screening levels.

As always, consult EPA toxicologists in the Superfund program of the regional office when developing site specific screening levels.

5.7 Aminodinitrotoluenes

The IRIS oral RfD of 2E-03 mg/kg-day for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene is used as a surrogate for 2-Amino-4,6-Dinitrotoluene and 4-Amino-2,6-Dinitrotoluene.

5.8 PCBs

Aroclor 1016 is considered "lowest risk" and assigned appropriate toxicity values. All other Aroclors are assigned the high risk toxicity values.

5.9 Xylenes

The IRIS oral RfD of 2E-01 mg/kg-day for xylene, mixture is used as a surrogate for the 3 xylene congeners. The earlier RfD values for some xylene isomers were withdrawn from our electronic version of HEAST. Also, the IRIS inhalation RfC of 1E-01 mg/m³ for xylene, mixture is used as a surrogate for the 3 xylene congeners.

5.10 Arsenic

Arsenic screening levels for ingestion of soil are now calculated with the <u>relative bioavailability factor</u> (RBA) of 0.6. The RBA can be adjusted using the calculator in site-specific/user-provided mode the same way toxicity values can be changed. The RBA for soil ingestion is shown in the calculator output. The 2012 document, <u>Compilation and Review of Data on Relative Bioavailability of Arsenic in Soil</u> provides supporting information.

5.11 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPHs)

The six TPH fractions were assigned representative compounds for determination of toxicity values and chemical-specific parameters to calculate RSLs. The <u>PPRTV</u> paper was the principal source for the derivation of these values.

The carbon ranges and representative compounds are listed in the table below. An average of the chemical-specific parameters for 2-methylnaphthalene and naphthalene was calculated for the medium aromatic fraction.

TPH Fractions	Number of Carbons	Equivalent Carbon Number Index	Representative Compound (RfD/RfC)
Low aliphatic	C5-C8	EC5-EC8	n-hexane
Medium aliphatic	C9-C18	EC>8-EC16	hydrocarbon streams*
High aliphatic	C19-C32	EC>16-EC35	white mineral oil
Low aromatic	C6-C8	EC6-EC<9	benzene
Medium aromatic	C9-C16	EC9-EC<22	2-methylnaphthalene/naphthalene
High aromatic	C17-C32	EC>22-EC35	fluoranthene

*Medium aliphatic representative compound was not listed in PPRTV paper so n-nonane was selected.

5.12 Soil Saturation Limit (C_{sat})

The soil saturation concentration, C_{sat} , corresponds to the contaminant concentration in soil at which the absorptive limits of the soil particles, the solubility limits of the soil pore water, and saturation of soil pore air have been reached. Above this concentration, the soil contaminant may be present in free phase (i.e., nonaqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) for contaminants that are liquid at ambient soil temperatures and pure solid phases for compounds that are solid at ambient soil temperatures. The following decision criteria was established from <u>SSL guidance</u>, Table C-3: if melting point is less than 20 °C, chemical is a liquid; if melting point is above 20 °C, chemical is solid.

Equation 4-10 is used to calculate C_{sat} for each volatile contaminant. As an update to RAGS HHEM, Part B (USEPA 1991a), this equation takes into account the amount of contaminant that is in the vapor phase in soil in addition to the amount dissolved in the soil's pore water and sorbed to soil particles.

Chemical-specific C_{sat} concentrations must be compared with each VF-based SL because a basic principle of the SL volatilization model is not applicable when free-phase contaminants are present. How these cases are handled depends on whether the contaminant is liquid or solid at ambient temperatures. Liquid contaminants that have a VF-based SL that exceeds the C_{sat} concentration are set equal to C_{sat} whereas for solids (e.g., PAHs), soil screening decisions are based on the appropriate SLs for other pathways of concern at the site (e.g., ingestion).

The RSL tables and the default calculator settings do not substitute C_{sat} for risk-based calculations. The calculator, if operated in site-specific mode, will give the

option to apply the Csat substitution rule.

5.13 SL Theoretical Ceiling Limit

The ceiling limit of 10^{+5} mg/kg is equivalent to a chemical representing 10% by weight of the soil sample. At this contaminant concentration (and higher), the assumptions for soil contact may be violated (for example, soil adherence and wind-borne dispersion assumptions) due to the presence of the foreign substance itself.

The RSL tables and the default calculator settings do not substitute the theoretical ceiling limit for risk-based calculations but they do indicate if the resulting RSL has exceeded the theoretical ceiling limit in the key. The calculator, if operated in site-specific mode, will give the option to apply the theoretical ceiling limit.

5.14 Target Risk

With the exceptions described previously in Sections 5.6 and 5.7, SLs are chemical concentrations that correspond to fixed levels of risk (i.e., either a one-in-one million $[10^{-6}]$ cancer risk or a noncarcinogenic hazard quotient of 1) in soil, air, and water. In most cases, where a substance causes both cancer and noncancer (systemic) effects, the 10^{-6} cancer risk will result in a more stringent criteria and consequently this value is presented in the printed copy of the Table. SL concentrations that equate to a 10^{-6} cancer risk are indicated by 'ca'. SL concentrations that equate to a hazard quotient of 1 for noncarcinogenic concerns are indicated by 'nc'.

If the SLs are to be used for site screening, it is recommended that both cancer and noncancer-based SLs be used. Both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic values may be obtained in the Supporting Tables.

Some users of this SL Table may plan to multiply the cancer SL concentrations by 10 or 100 to set 'action levels' for triggering remediation or to set less stringent cleanup levels for a specific site after considering non-risk-based factors such as ambient levels, detection limits, or technological feasibility. This risk management practice recognizes that there may be a range of values that may be 'acceptable' for carcinogenic risk (EPA's risk management range is one-in-a-million $[10^{-6}]$ to one-in-ten thousand $[10^{-4}]$). However, this practice could lead one to overlook serious noncancer health threats and it is strongly recommended that the user consult with a toxicologist or regional risk assessor before doing this. Carcinogens are indicated by an asterisk ('*') in the SL Table where the noncancer SLs would be exceeded if the cancer value that is displayed is multiplied by 100. ('**') indicate that the noncancer values would be exceeded if the cancer SL were multiplied by 100. ('**') indicate that the noncancer SLs should not be multiplied by 10 or 100 when setting final cleanup criteria. In the rare case where noncancer SLs are more stringent than cancer SLs set at one-in-one-million risk, a similar approach has been applied (e.g. 'max').

SL concentrations in the printed Table are risk-based, but for soil there are two important exceptions: (1) for several volatile chemicals, SLs may exceed the soil saturation level ('sat') and (2) SLs may exceed a non-risk based 'ceiling limit' concentration of 10^{+5} mg/kg ('max') for relatively less toxic inorganic and semivolatile contaminants. For more information on the 'sat' value in the SL Table, please see the discussion in Section 5.11. For more information on the 'max' value in the SL Table, please see the discussion in Section 5.13.

With respect to applying a 'ceiling limit' for chemicals other than volatiles, it is recognized that this is not a universally accepted approach. Some within the agency argue that all values should be risk-based to allow for scaling (for example, if the risk-based SL is set at a hazard quotient = 1.0, and the user would like to set the hazard quotient to 0.1 to take into account multiple chemicals, then this is as simple as multiplying the risk-based SL by 1/10th). If scaling is necessary, SL users can do this simply by referring to the Supporting Tables at this website where risk-based soil concentrations are presented for all chemicals.

In spite of the fact that applying a ceiling limit is not a universally accepted approach, this table applies a 'max' soil concentration to the SL Table for the following reasons:

- Risk-based SLs for some chemicals in soil exceed unity (>1,000,000 mg/kg), which is not possible.
- The ceiling limit of 10^{+5} mg/kg is equivalent to a chemical representing 10% by weight of the soil sample. At this contaminant concentration (and higher), the assumptions for soil contact may be violated (for example, soil adherence and wind-borne dispersion assumptions) due to the presence of the foreign substance itself.
- SLs currently do not address short-term exposures (e.g., pica children and construction workers). Although extremely high soil SLs are likely to represent relatively non-toxic chemicals, such high values may not be justified if in fact more toxicological data were available for evaluating short-term and/or acute exposures.

5.15 Screening Sites with Multiple Contaminants

The screening levels in the tables are calculated under the assumption that only one contaminant is present. Users needing to screen sites with multiple contaminants should consult with their regional risk assessors. The following sections describe how target risks can be changed to screen against multiple contaminants and how the ratio of concentration to RSL can be used to estimate total risk.

5.15.1 Adjusting Target Risk and Target Hazard Quotient

When multiple contaminants are present at a site the target hazard quotient (THQ) may be modified. The following options are among the commonly used methods to modify the THQ:

1. The <u>calculator</u> on this website can be used to generate SLs based on any THQ or target cancer risk (TR) deemed appropriate by the user. The THQ input to the calculator can be modified from the default of 1. How much it should be modified is a user decision, but it could be based upon the number of contaminants being screened together. For example, if one is screening two contaminants together, then the THQ could be modified to 0.5. If ten contaminants are being screened together, then the THQ could be modified to 0.1. The above example weights each chemical equally; it is also possible to weight the chemicals unequally, as long as the total risk meets the desired goal. The decision of how to weight the chemicals is likely to be site-specific, and it is recommended that this decision be made in consultation with the regional risk assessor.

Note that when the TR or THQ is altered, the relationship between cancer-based and noncancer-based SLs may change. At certain risk levels, the cancer-based number may be more conservative; at different risk levels, the noncancer-based number may be more conservative. The data user needs to consider both cancer and noncancer endpoints.

2. Similar to the above approach of using the calculator to recalculate SLs based on non-default target levels, the values in the screening tables themselves can be addressed directly. Consistent with the above logic, although the EPA Superfund Program has not developed guidance on this, it is not uncommon that Superfund sites are screened at a THQ of 0.1. (The cancer-based SLs are already at a target risk of 1E-6 and are usually not adjusted further in this scenario.) SLs based on a THQ of 0.1 can be derived by dividing a default SL by 10. Again, note that altering the target HQ can change the relationship between cancerbased and noncancer-based screening levels; the data user needs to consider both endpoints. Additional approaches or alternatives may exist. When screening actual or potential Superfund sites, users are encouraged to consult with risk assessors in that EPA Regional Office when evaluating or screening contamination at a site with multiple contaminants to see if they may know of another approach or if they have a preference.

5.15.2 Using RSLs to Sum Risk from Multiple Contaminants

RSLs can be used to estimate the total risk from multiple contaminants at a site as part of a screening procedure used by some regions. This methodology, which does not substitute for a baseline risk assessment, is often called the "sum of the ratios" approach. A step-wise approach follows:

- 1. Perform an extensive records search and compile existing data.
- 2. Identify site contaminants in the SL Table. Record the SL concentrations for various media and note whether SL is based on cancer risk (indicated by 'c') or noncancer hazard (indicated by 'n'). Segregate cancer SLs from non-cancer SLs and exclude (but don't eliminate) non-risk based SLs 's' or 'm'.
- 3. For cancer risk estimates, take the site-specific concentration (maximum or 95th percent of the upper confidence limit on the mean (UCL)) and divide by the SL concentrations that are designated for cancer evaluation 'c'. Multiply this ratio by 10⁻⁶ to estimate chemical-specific risk for a reasonable maximum exposure (RME). For multiple pollutants, simply add the risk for each chemical. See equation below.

$$\mathsf{Risk} = \left[\left(\frac{\mathsf{conc}_{x}}{\mathsf{SL}_{x}} \right) + \left(\frac{\mathsf{conc}_{y}}{\mathsf{SL}_{y}} \right) + \left(\frac{\mathsf{conc}_{z}}{\mathsf{SL}_{z}} \right) \right] \times 10^{-6}$$

4. For non-cancer hazard estimates, divide the concentration term by its respective non-cancer SL designated as 'n' and sum the ratios for multiple contaminants. The cumulative ratio represents a non-carcinogenic hazard index (HI). A hazard index of 1 or less is generally considered 'safe'. A ratio greater than 1 suggests further evaluation. Note that carcinogens may also have an associated non-cancer SL that is not listed in the SL Table. To obtain these values, the user should view the Supporting Tables. See equation below.

$$Hazard Index = \left[\left(\frac{conc_{\chi}}{SL_{\chi}} \right) + \left(\frac{conc_{\chi}}{SL_{\chi}} \right) + \left(\frac{conc_{z}}{SL_{z}} \right) \right]$$

5.16 Deriving Soil Gas SLs

The air SLs could apply to indoor air from, e.g., a vapor intrusion scenario. To model indoor air concentrations from other media (e.g., soil gas, groundwater), consult with regional experts in vapor intrusion.

For more information on EPA's current understanding of this emerging exposure pathway, please refer to EPA's recent draft guidance Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance) (USEPA 2002) available on the web at: http://www.epa.gov/osw/hazard/correctiveaction/eis/vapor.htm.

5.17 Mutagens

Some of the cancer causing analytes in this tool operate by a mutagenic mode of action for carcinogenesis. There is reason to surmise that some chemicals with a mutagenic mode of action, which would be expected to cause irreversible changes to DNA, would exhibit a greater effect in early-life versus later-life exposure. Cancer risk to children in the context of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005) includes both early-life exposures that may result in the occurrence of cancer during childhood and early-life exposures that may contribute to cancers later in life. In keeping with this guidance, separate cancer risk equations are presented for mutagens. The mutagen vinyl chloride has a unique set of equations. Consult Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility

from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens, EPA/630/R-03/003F, March 2005 for further information.

http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/sghandbook/chemicals.htm provides more detailed information about which contaminants are considered carcinogenic by a mutagenic mode of action. In addition to the previous document's list of these contaminants, Chromium VI, 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene, Benz(a)anthracene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Benzo(k)fluoranthene, Chrysene and Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene are also considered carcinogenic by a mutagenic mode of action.

6. Using the Calculator

The <u>Calculator</u> can be used to generate site-specific SLs or PRGs. The calculator requires the user to make some simple selections. To use the calculator Select a land use. Next, select whether you want Default or Site-specific SLs. Selecting default screening levels will reproduce the results in the generic <u>Generic Tables</u>. Selecting Site-Specific will allow you to change exposure parameters. Now pick your analytes. To pick several in a row, depress the left mouse button and drag, then release. Or hold the Ctrl key down and select multiple analytes that are not in a row. Select the output option. Hit the retrieve button. If you selected Site-Specific, the next page allows you to change exposure parameters. Hit the retrieve button. SLs are being calculated. The first table presents the input parameters that were selected. The next table contains the screening levels. This table can be too big to print. The easiest way to manage this table is to move it to a spreadsheet or a database. To copy this table, hold the left mouse key down and drag across the entire table. when done, press Ctrl c to copy. Switch to a spreadsheet and press Ctrl v to paste.

Table 1. Standard Default Factors

Symbol	Definition (units)	Default	Reference
	SLs		
SL _{res-air-ca}	Resident Air Carcinogenic (µg/m ³)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-air-ca-vinyl chloride}	Resident Air Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride (µg/m ³)	Vinyl Chloride-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-air-mu}	Resident Air Mutagenic (µg/m ³)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-air-nc}	Resident Air Noncarcinogenic (µg/m ³)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-fsh-ca-ing}	Resident Fish Carcinogenic (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-fsh-nc-ing}	Resident Fish Noncarcinogenic (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-ca-ing}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-ca-der}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Dermal (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-ca-inh}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Inhalation (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-ca-tot}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-water-ca-vc-ing}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-water-ca-vc-der}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Dermal (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-water-ca-vc-inh}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Inhalation (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-water-ca-vc-tot}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-mu-ing}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Mutagenic Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-mu-der}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Mutagenic Dermal (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-mu-inh}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Mutagenic Inhalation (µg/L)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-mu-tot}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Mutagenic Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-nc-ing}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-nc-der}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Noncarcinogenic Dermal (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-nc-inh}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (µg/L)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{water-nc-tot}	Resident Tapwater Groundwater Noncarcinogenic Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-ca-ing}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-ca-der}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-ca-inh}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator

SL _{res-sol-ca-vc-ing}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Ingestion (mg/kg)	Vinyl Chloride -specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-ca-vc-der}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Dermal (mg/kg)	Vinyl Chloride-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-ca-vc-inh}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Inhalation (mg/kg)	Vinyl Chloride-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-ca-vc-tot}	Resident Soil Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Total (mg/kg)	Vinyl Chloride-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-mu-ing}	Resident Soil Mutagenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-mu-der}	Resident Soil Mutagenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-mu-inh}	Resident Soil Mutagenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-mu-tot}	Resident Soil Mutagenic Total (mg/kg)	Mutagen-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-nc-ing}	Resident Soil Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-nc-der}	Resident Soil Noncarcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-nc-inh}	Resident Soil Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{res-sol-nc-tot}	Resident Soil Noncarcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-ca-ing}	Composite Worker Soil Carcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-ca-der}	Composite Worker Soil Carcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-ca-inh}	Composite Worker Soil Carcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-ca-tot}	Composite Worker Soil Carcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-nc-ing}	Composite Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-nc-der}	Composite Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-nc-inh}	Composite Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{w-sol-nc-tot}	Composite Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-ca-ing}	Indoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-ca-der}	Indoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-ca-inh}	Indoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-ca-tot}	Indoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-nc-ing}	Indoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-nc-der}	Indoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-nc-inh}	Indoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{iw-sol-nc-tot}	Indoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-ca-ing}	Outdoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-ca-der}	Outdoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-ca-inh}	Outdoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-ca-tot}	Outdoor Worker Soil Carcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-nc-ing}	Outdoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-nc-der}	Outdoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-nc-inh}	Outdoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{ow-sol-nc-tot}	Outdoor Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-ca-ing}	Construction Worker Soil Carcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-ca-der}	Construction Worker Soil Carcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-ca-inh}	Construction Worker Soil Carcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator

SL _{cw-sol-ca-tot}	Construction Worker Soil Carcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-nc-ing}	Construction Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-nc-der}	Construction Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-nc-inh}	Construction Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{cw-sol-nc-tot}	Construction Worker Soil Noncarcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-ca-ing}	Recreator Soil Carcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-ca-der}	Recreator Soil Carcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-ca-inh}	Recreator Soil Carcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-ca-tot}	Recreator Soil Carcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-nc-ing}	Recreator Soil Noncarcinogenic Ingestion (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-nc-der}	Recreator Soil Noncarcinogenic Dermal (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-nc-inh}	Recreator Soil Noncarcinogenic Inhalation (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-sol-nc-tot}	Recreator Soil Noncarcinogenic Total (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-ca-der}	Recreator Surface Water Carcinogenic Dermal (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-ca-ing}	Recreator Surface Water Carcinogenic Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-ca-tot}	Recreator Surface Water Carcinogenic Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-vc-der}	Recreator Surface Water Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Dermal (μ g/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-vc-ing}	Recreator Surface Water Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-vc-tot}	Recreator Surface Water Carcinogenic Vinyl Chloride Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-nc-der}	Recreator Surface Water Non-Carcinogenic Dermal (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-nc-ing}	Recreator Surface Water Non-Carcinogenic Ingestion (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
SL _{rec-water-nc-tot}	Recreator Surface Water Non-Carcinogenic Total (µg/L)	Contaminant-specific	Determined in this calculator
DfD	Toxicity Values		
	Chronic Oral Reference Dose (mg/kg-day)	Contaminant-specific	EPA Superfund hierarchy
RfC	Chronic Inhalation Reference Concentration (mg/m ³)	Contaminant-specific	EPA Superfund hierarchy
	Chronic oral Slope Factor (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	Contaminant-specific	EPA Superfund hierarchy
IUR	Chronic Inhalation Unit Risk (µg/m ³) ⁻¹	Contaminant-specific	EPA Superfund hierarchy
TD	Miscellaneous Varia	ibles	Determined in this coloulator
ТНО	target hazard quotient	1 × 10 °	Determined in this calculator
ĸ	Andalman Valatilization Factor (L/m ³)	0.5	U.S. EPA 1991b (ng. 20)
K.	Dermal Permeability Constant (cm/hr)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2004
AT.	Averaging time - resident (days/year)	365	U.S. EPA 1989 (pg. $6-23$)
AT	Averaging time - composite worker (days/year)	365	US EPA 1989 (pg. 6-23)
AT:	Averaging time - indoor worker (days/year)	365	U.S. EPA 1989 (pg. 6-23)
ATom	Averaging time - outdoor worker (days/year)	365	U.S. EPA 1989 (pg. 6-23)
AT	Averaging time - construction worker (days/year)	365	U.S. EPA 1989 (pg. 6-23)
AT	Averaging time - construction worker (days/year)	365	U.S. EFA 1969 (pg. 0-23)
I T	Averaging time - recreator (days/year)	70	U.S. EFA 1969 (pg. $6-23$)
	Ingestion. and Dermal Con	ntact Rates	0.5. LIA 1707 (pg. 0-22)
IRW	Resident Drinking Water Ingestion Rate - Child	1	U.S. EPA 2000b
IRW	(L/day) Resident Drinking Water Ingestion Rate - Adult	2	U.S. EFA 1080 (Eyhikit 6 11)
	(L/day) Resident Drinking Water Ingestion Rate - Age-	1.000	Calculated using the age adjusted
11 W adj	adjusted (L-year/kg-day)	1.086	intake factors equation

IFWM _{adj}	Resident Mutagenic Drinking Water Ingestion Rate - Age-adjusted (L-year/kg-day)	3.39	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IRS _c	Resident Soil Ingestion Rate - Child (mg/day)	200	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IRS _a	Resident Soil Ingestion Rate - Adult (mg/day)	100	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IFS _{adj}	Resident Soil Ingestion Rate - Age-adjusted (mg- year/kg-day)	114	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IFSM _{adj}	Resident Mutagenic Soil Ingestion Rate - Age- adjusted (mg-year/kg-day)	489.5	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IR _{iw}	Indoor Worker Soil Ingestion Rate (mg/day)	50	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IR _{ow}	Outdoor Worker Soil Ingestion Rate (mg/day)	100	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IR _{cw}	Construction Worker Soil Ingestion Rate (mg/day)	330	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit 5-1
IRW _{recwc}	Recreator Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Child (L/hr)	0.05	U.S. EPA Region 4
IRW _{recwa}	Recreator Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Adult (L/hr)	0.05	U.S. EPA Region 4
IFW _{rec-adj}	Recreator Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Age- adjusted (L/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IRW ₀₋₂	Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Age Segment 0-2 (L/hr)	0.05	U.S. EPA Region 4
IRW ₂₋₆	Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Age Segment 2-6 (L/hr)	0.05	U.S. EPA Region 4
IRW ₆₋₁₆	Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Age Segment 6-16 (L/hr)	0.05	U.S. EPA Region 4
IRW ₁₆₋₃₀	Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Age Segment 16-30 (L/hr)	0.05	U.S. EPA Region 4
IFWM _{rec-adj}	Recreator Mutagenic Surface Water Ingestion Rate - Age-adjusted (L/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IRS _{recsc}	Recreator Soil Ingestion Rate - Child (mg/day)	200	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IRS _{recsa}	Recreator Soil Ingestion Rate - Adult (mg/day)	100	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IFS _{rec-adj}	Recreator Soil Ingestion Rate - Age-adjusted (mg/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IRS ₀₋₂	Soil Ingestion Rate - Age-segment 0-2 (mg/day)	200	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IRS ₂₋₆	Soil Ingestion Rate - Age-segment 2-6 (mg/day)	200	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IRS ₆₋₁₆	Soil Ingestion Rate - Age-segment 6-16 (mg/day)	100	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IRS ₁₆₋₃₀	Soil Ingestion Rate - Age-segment 16-30 (mg/day)	100	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
IFSM _{rec-adj}	Recreator Mutagenic Soil Ingestion Rate - Age- adjusted (mg/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFS _{adj}	Resident soil dermal contact factor- age-adjusted (mg-year/kg-day)	361	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFSM _{adj}	Resident Mutagenic soil dermal contact factor- age- adjusted (mg-year/kg-day)	1445	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFS _{rec-adj}	Recreator soil dermal contact factor- age-adjusted (mg/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFSM _{rec-adj}	Recreator Mutagenic soil dermal contact factor- age- adjusted (mg-year/kg-day)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFW _{adj}	Resident water dermal contact factor- age-adjusted (cm ² - event/kg)	8811.4	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFWM _{adj}	Resident Mutagenic water dermal contact factor- age- adjusted (cm ² - event/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFW _{rec-adj}	Recreator water dermal contact factor- age-adjusted (cm ² - event/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
DFWM _{rec-adj}	Recreator Mutagenic water dermal contact factor- age-adjusted (cm ² - event/kg)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
IRF _a	Fish Ingestion Rate (mg/day)	5.4×10^4	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
SA _c	Resident soil surface area - child (cm ²)	2800	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 1-2)
SA _a	Resident soil surface area - adult (cm ²)	5700	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 1-2)
SA _c	Resident water surface area - child (cm ²)	6600	U.S. EPA 2004 (Exhibit 3-2)
SA _a	Resident water surface area - adult (cm ²)	18000	U.S. EPA 2004 (Exhibit 3-2)
SA _{ow}	Worker soil surface area - adult (cm ²)	3300	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 1-2)
SA _{cw}	Worker soil surface area - adult (cm ²)	3300	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 5-1)

SA _{recsc}	Recreator surface area - child soil (cm ²)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
SA _{recsa}	Recreator surface area - adult soil (cm ²)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
SA _{recwc}	Recreator surface area - child water (cm ²)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
SA _{recwa}	Recreator surface area - adult water (cm ²)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
SA ₀₋₂	Recreator soil surface area - age segment 0-2 (cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
SA ₂₋₆	Recreator soil surface area - age segment 2-6 (cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
SA ₆₋₁₆	Recreator soil surface area - age segment 6-16 (cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
SA ₁₆₋₃₀	Recreator soil surface area - age segment 16-30 (cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
AF _c	Resident soil adherence factor - child (mg/cm ²)	0.2	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 1-2)
AF _a	Resident soil adherence factor - adult (mg/cm ²)	0.07	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 1-2)
AF _{ow}	Worker soil adherence factor - child (mg/cm ²)	0.2	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 1-2)
AF _{cw}	Construction Worker soil adherence factor - child (mg/cm ²)	0.3	U.S. EPA 2002 (Exhibit 5-1)
AF _{recsc}	Recreator soil adherence factor - child (mg/cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
AF _{recsa}	Recreator soil adherence factor - adult (mg/cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
AF ₀₋₂	Recreator soil adherence factor - age segment 0-2 (mg/cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
AF ₂₋₆	Recreator soil adherence factor - age segment 2-6 (mg/cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
AF ₆₋₁₆	Recreator soil adherence factor - age segment 6-16 (mg/cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
AF ₁₆₋₃₀	Recreator soil adherence factor - age segment 16-30 (mg/cm ²)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW _c	Resident Body Weight - child (kg)	15	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
BW _a	Resident Body Weight - adult (kg)	70	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
BW _{recsc}	Recreator Body Weight - child soil (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW _{recsa}	Recreator Body Weight - adult soil (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW _{recwc}	Recreator Body Weight - child water (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW _{recwa}	Recreator Body Weight - adult water (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW ₀₋₂	Recreator Body Weight - age segment 0-2 (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW ₂₋₆	Recreator Body Weight - age segment 2-6 (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW ₆₋₁₆	Recreator Body Weight - age segment 6-16 (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW ₁₆₋₃₀	Recreator Body Weight - age segment 16-30 (kg)	Site-specific	Site-specific
BW _{ow}	Outdoor Worker Body Weight (kg)	70	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
BW _{cw}	Construction Worker Body Weight (kg)	70	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit 5-1
BW _{iw}	Outdoor Worker Body Weight (kg)	70	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
BW _w	Worker Body Weight (kg)	70	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ABS _d	Fraction of contaminant absorbed dermally from soil (unitless)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2004 (Exhibit 3-4)
GIABS	Fraction of contaminant absorbed in gastrointestinal tract (unitless) Note: if the GIABS is >50% then it is set to 100% for the calculation of dermal toxicity values.	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2004 (Exhibit 4-1)
DA _{event}	Absorbed dose per event (μ g/cm ² - event)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2004 (Equation 3.2 and 3.3)
	Exposure Frequency, Exposure Duration, an	nd Exposure Time Variabl	es
EF _r	Resident Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	350	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
EFw	Worker Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	250	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
EF _{iw}	Indoor Worker Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	250	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
EF _{ow}	Outdoor Worker Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	225	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
EF _{cw}	Construction Worker Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	250	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit 5-1
EF _{rec}	Recreator Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific

EF _{recs}	Recreator Soil Exposure Frequency (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF _{recsc}	Recreator Soil Exposure Frequency - child (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF _{recsa}	Recreator Soil Exposure Frequency - adult (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF _{recwc}	Recreator Water Exposure Frequency - child (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF _{recwa}	Recreator Water Exposure Frequency - adult (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF ₀₋₂	Exposure Frequency - age segment 0-2 (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF ₂₋₆	Exposure Frequency - age segment 2-6 (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF ₆₋₁₆	Exposure Frequency - age segment 6-16 (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EF ₁₆₋₃₀	Exposure Frequency - age segment 16-30 (days/yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED _r	Resident Exposure Duration (yr)	30	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ED _c	Resident Exposure Duration - child (yr)	6	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ED _a	Resident Exposure Duration - adult (yr)	24	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ED _w	Worker Exposure Duration - (yr)	25	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ED _{iw}	Indoor Worker Exposure Duration - (yr)	25	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ED _{ow}	Outdoor Worker Exposure Duration (yr)	25	U.S. EPA 1991a (pg. 15)
ED _{cw}	Construction Worker Exposure Duration (yr)	1	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit 5-1
ED _{rec}	Recreator Exposure Duration (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED _{recsc}	Recreator Exposure Duration - child soil (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED _{recsa}	Recreator Exposure Duration - adult soil (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED _{recwc}	Recreator Exposure Duration - child water (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED _{recwa}	Recreator Exposure Duration - adult water (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED ₀₋₂	Exposure Duration - age segment 0-2 (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED ₂₋₆	Exposure Duration - age segment 2-6 (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED ₆₋₁₆	Exposure Duration - age segment 6-16 (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ED ₁₆₋₃₀	Exposure Duration - age segment 16-30 (yr)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{ra}	Resident Air Exposure Time (hours/day)	24	The whole day
ET _{rs}	Resident Soil Exposure Time (hours/day)	24	The whole day
ETw	Worker Air Exposure Time (hr/hr)	8	The work day
ET _{ws}	Worker Soil Exposure Time (hours/day)	8	The work day
ET _{recs}	Recreator Soil Exposure Time (hours/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{recsc}	Recreator Soil Exposure Time - child (hours/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{recsa}	Recreator Soil Exposure Time - adult (hours/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{recw}	Recreator Surface Water Exposure Time (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{rw}	Resident Water Exposure Time (hours/day)	24	The whole day
ET _{rwc}	Resident Water Exposure Time - child (hours/event)	1	U.S. EPA 2004
ET _{rwa}	Resident Water Exposure Time - adult (hours/event)	0.58	U.S. EPA 2004
ET _{recwc}	Recreator Surface Water Exposure Time - child (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{recwa}	Recreator Surface Water Exposure Time - adult (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET ₀₋₂	Exposure Time - age segment 0-2 (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET ₂₋₆	Exposure Time - age segment 2-6 (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET ₆₋₁₆	Exposure Time - age segment 6-16 (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET ₁₆₋₃₀	Exposure Time - age segment 16-30 (hours/event)	Site-specific	Site-specific
ET _{recw-adj}	Recreator Exposure Time - age-adjusted (hr/hr)	Site-specific	Calculated using the age adjusted intake factors equation
EV _{recwc}	Recreator Events - child (events/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EV _{recwa}	Recreator Events - adult (events/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EV ₀₋₂	Events - age segment 0-2 (events/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EV ₂₋₆	Events - age segment 2-6 (events/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific
EV ₆₋₁₆	Events - age segment 6-16 (events/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific

EV ₁₆₋₃₀	Events - age segment 16-30 (events/day)	Site-specific	Site-specific			
Soil to Groundwater SSL Factor Variables						
C _w	Target soil leachate concentration (mg/L)	nonzero MCL or RSL \times DAF	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-14			
DAF	Dilution attenuation factor (unitless)	1 (or site-specific)	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-11			
ED	Exposure duration	70	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-14			
I	Infiltration Rate (m/year)	0.18	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-11			
L	source length parallel to ground water flow (m)	site-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-11			
1	hydraulic gradient (m/m)	site-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-11			
<u>κ</u>	aquifer hydraulic conductivity (m/year)	site-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-11			
0 _w	water-fined son polosity (L _{water} /L _{soil})	0.3	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
θ_a	air-filled soil porosity (L _{air} /L _{soil})	$= n - \theta_{w}$	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
n	total soil porosity(L _{pore} /L _{soil})	$= 1 - (\rho_b / \rho_s)$	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
ρ _s	soil particle density (Kg/L)	2.65	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
ρ _b	dry soil bulk density (kg/L)	1.5	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
Η'	Dimensionless Henry Law Constant (unitless)	analyte-specific	EPI Suite			
K _d	soil-water partition coefficient (L/kg)	$= K_{oc} * f_{oc}$ for organics	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
K _{oc}	soil organic carbon/water partition coefficient (L/kg)	analyte-specific	EPI Suite			
f _{oc}	fraction organic carbon in soil (g/g)	0.002 (2%)	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
d _a	aquifer thickness (m)	site-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
d _s	depth of source (m)	site-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-10			
d	mixing zone depth (m)	site-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-12			
Wind Particulate Emission Factor Variables						
PEFw	Particulate Emission Factor - Minneapolis (m ³ /kg)	1.36 x 10 ⁹ (region- specific)	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-2			
Q/C _{wind}	Inverse of the Mean Concentration at the Center of a 0.5 -Acre-Square Source (g/m ² -s per kg/m ³)	93.77 (region-specific)	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-2			
V	Fraction of Vegetative Cover (unitless)	0.5	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-5			
U _m	Mean Annual Wind Speed (m/s)	4.69	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-5			
Ut	Equivalent Threshold Value of Wind Speed at 7m (m/s)	11.32	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-5			
F(x)	Function Dependent on U_m/U_t (unitless)	0.194	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-5			
A	Dispersion constant unitless	PEF and region-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-2			
A _s	Areal extent of the site or contamination (acres)	0.5 (range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-2			
В	Dispersion constant unitless	PEF and region-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-2			
С	Dispersion constant unitless	PEF and region-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-2			
Mechanical Particulate Emission Factor Variables from Vehicle Traffic						
PEF _{sc}	Particulate Emission Factor - subchronic (m ³ /kg)	(site-specific)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
Q/C _{sr}	Inverse of the ratio of the 1-h geometric mean concentration to the emission flux along a straight road segment bisecting a square site $(g/m^2-s per kg/m^3)$	23.02 (for 0.5 acre site)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
F _D	Dispersion correction factor (unitless)	0.185	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
Т	Total time over which construction occurs (s)	site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
A _R	Surface area of contaminated road segment (m ²)	$(A_{\rm R} = L_{\rm R} \times W_{\rm R} \times 0.092903 \text{m}^2 / \text{ft}^2)$	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
L _R	Length of road segment (ft)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
W _R	Width of road segment (ft)	20	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-18			
W	Mean vehicle weight (tons)	(number of cars x tons/car + number of trucks x tons/truck) / total vehicles)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
p	Number of days with at least 0.01 inches of precipitation (days/year)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit 5-2			
∑VKT	Sum of fleet vehicle kilometers traveled during the exposure duration (km)	\sum VKT = total vehicles x distance (km/day) x frequency (weeks/year) x (days/year)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-5			
1						

A	Dispersion constant unitless	12.9351	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-6		
A _s	Areal extent of site surface soil contamination (acres)	0.5 (range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-6		
В	Dispersion constant unitless	5.7383	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-6		
С	Dispersion constant unitless	71.7711	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-6		
Mechanical Particulate Emission Factor Variables from other than Vehicle Traffic					
PEF ['] sc	Particulate Emission Factor - subchronic (m ³ /kg)	(site-specific)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-26		
Q/C _{sa}	Inverse of the ratio of the 1-h. geometric mean air concentration and the emission flux at the center of the square emission source $(g/m^2-s \text{ per } kg/m^3)$	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-15		
F _D	Dispersion correction factor (unitless)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-16		
А	Dispersion constant unitless	2.4538	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-15		
В	Dispersion constant unitless	17.5660	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-15		
С	Dispersion constant unitless	189.0426	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-15		
A _s	Areal extent of site surface soil contamination (acres)	(range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-15		
J ['] _T (g/m ² -s)	Total time-averaged PM_{10} unit emission flux for construction activities other than traffic on unpaved roads	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-25		
M ^{PC} _{wind}	Unit mass emitted from wind erosion (g)	site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
V	Fraction of Vegetative Cover (unitless)	0	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
U _m	Mean Annual Wind Speed (m/s)	4.69	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
Ut	Equivalent Threshold Value of Wind Speed at 7m (m/s)	11.32	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
F(x)	Function Dependent on U _m /U _t (unitless)	0.194	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
A _{surf}	Areal extent of site surface soil contamination (m ²)	(range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
ED	Exposure duration (years)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-20		
M _{excav}	Unit mass emitted from excavation soil dumping (g)	site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
0.35	PM ₁₀ particle size multiplier (unitless)	0.35	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
U _m	Mean annual wind speed during construction (m/s)	4.69	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
M _{m-excav}	Gravimetric soil moisture content (%)	12 (mean value for municipal landfill cover)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
ρ _{soil}	In situ soil density (includes water) (Mg/m ³)	1.68	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
A _{excav}	Areal extent of excavation (m^2)	(range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
devcay	Average depth of excavation (m)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
N _A down	Number of times soil is dumped (unitless)	2	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-21		
M.	Unit mass smitted from dozing operations (g)	- leite energifie	U.S. EDA 2002 Equation E 22		
lo 75	PM _ scaling factor (unitlass)		U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
0.75		0.75	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
s _{doz}	Soil silt content (%)	6.9	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
M _{m-doz}	Gravimetric soil moisture content (%)	7.9 (mean value for overburden)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
$\sum VKT_{doz}$	Sum of dozing kilometers traveled (km)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
S _{doz}	Average dozing speed (kph)	11.4 (mean value for graders)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
N _{A-doz}	Number of times site is dozed (unitless)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-22		
B _d	Dozer blade length (m)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Page E-28		
Mgrade	Unit mass emitted from grading operations (g)	site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-23		
0.60	PM ₁₀ scaling factor (unitless)	0.60	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-23		
$\Sigma V K T_{grade}$	Sum of grading kilometers traveled (km)		U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-23		
S _{grade}	Average grading speed (kph)	11.4 (mean value for graders)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-23		
N _{A-grade}	Number of times site is graded (unitless)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-23		
Bg	Grader blade length (m)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Page E-28		
M _{till}	Unit mass emitted from tilling operations (g)	site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-24		
S _{till}	Soil silt content (%)	18	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-24		
A.:u	Areal extent of tilling (acres)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E 24		
		She-speenie	0.5. EI A 2002 Equation E-24		

N _{A-till}	Number of times soil is tilled (unitless)	2	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation E-24		
Chronic Volatilization Factor and Soil Saturation Limit Variables					
VFs	Volatilization Factor - Los Angeles (m ³ /kg)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
C _{sat}	Soil saturation concentration (mg/kg)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-9		
Q/C _{vol}	Inverse of the Mean Concentration at the Center of a 0.5 -Acre-Square Source (g/m ² -s per kg/m ³)	68.81	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
A	Dispersion constant unitless	11.9110	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-3		
A _s	Areal extent of the site contamination (acres)	0.5 (range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 4-8		
В	Dispersion constant unitless	17.5660	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-3		
С	Dispersion constant unitless	189.0426	U.S. EPA 2002 Exhibit D-3		
D _A	Apparent Diffusivity (cm ² /s)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
Т	Exposure interval (s)	9.5×10 ⁸ (used for unlimited source model)	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
T	Exposure interval (years)	30 (used for mass-limit model)	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-13		
ρ _b	Dry soil bulk density (g/cm ³)	1.5	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
θ_a	Air-filled soil porosity (L_{air}/L_{soil}) $(n-\theta_w)$	0.28	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
n	Total soil porosity (L_{pore}/L_{soil}) (1-(ρ_b/ρ_s)	0.43	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
$\theta_{\rm w}$	Water-filled soil porosity (L _{water} /L _{soil})	0.15	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
ρ _s	Soil particle density (g/cm ³)	2.65	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
S	Water Solubility Limit (mg/L)	Contaminant-specific	EPI Suite		
D _{ia}	Diffusivity in air (cm ² /s)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA. 2001		
H'	Dimensionless Henry's Law Constant	Contaminant-specific	EPI Suite		
D _{iw}	Diffusivity in water (cm ² /s)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA. 2001		
K _d	Soil-water partition coefficient (L/Kg) ($K_{oc} \times f_{oc}$)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
K _{oc}	Soil organic carbon-water partition coefficient (L/Kg)	Contaminant-specific	EPI Suite		
f _{oc}	Organic carbon content of soil (g/g)	0.006	U.S. EPA. 2002 Equation 4-8		
d _s	Average source depth (m)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 4-13		
	Subchronic Volatilization Factor for Unlimited S	Source and Mass-limit Equ	ations		
VF _{sc}	Subchronic Volatilization Factor (m ³ /kg)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
Q/C _{sa}	Inverse of the ratio of the 1-h geometric mean air concentration to the volatilization flux at the center of a square source $(g/m^2$ -s per kg/m ³)	14.31 (for 0.5 acre site)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
A	Dispersion constant unitless	2.4538	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-15		
A _c	Areal extent of the site soil contamination (acres)	0.5 (range 0.5 to 500)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-15		
В	Dispersion constant unitless	17.5660	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-15		
С	Dispersion constant unitless	189.0426	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-15		
D _A	Apparent Diffusivity (cm ² /s)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
<u>T</u>	Total time over which construction occurs (s)	site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
ρ _b	Dry soil bulk density (g/cm ³)	1.5	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
F _D	Dispersion correction factor (unitless)	0.185	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
θ_a	Air-filled soil porosity (L_{air}/L_{soil}) $(n-\theta_w)$	0.28	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
n	Total soil porosity (L_{pore}/L_{soil}) (1-(ρ_b/ρ_s)	0.43	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
$\theta_{\rm W}$	Water-filled soil porosity (L _{water} /L _{soil})	0.15	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
ρ _s	Soil particle density (g/cm ³)	2.65	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
D _{ia}	Diffusivity in air (cm ² /s)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2001		
H'	Dimensionless Henry's Law Constant	Contaminant-specific	EPI Suite		
D _{iw}	Diffusivity in water (cm ² /s)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2001		
K _d	Soil-water partition coefficient (L/Kg) ($K_{oc} \times f_{oc}$)	Contaminant-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
K _{oc}	Soil organic carbon-water partition coefficient (L/Kg)	Contaminant-specific	EPI Suite		
f _{oc}	Organic carbon content of soil (g/g)	0.006 (0.6%)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-14		
Т	Total time over which construction occurs (year)	site-specific (T=ED)	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-17		
d _s	Average source depth (m)	Site-specific	U.S. EPA 2002 Equation 5-17		

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1987. Processes, Coefficients, and Models for Simulation Toxic Organics and Heavy Metals in Surface Waters. EPA/600/3-87/015. Office of Research and Development, Athens, GA.

U.S. EPA 1989. <u>Risk assessment guidance for Superfund. Volume I: Human health evaluation manual (Part A)</u>. Interim Final. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA/540/1-89/002.

U.S. EPA 1991a. Human health evaluation manual, supplemental guidance: "Standard default exposure factors". OSWER Directive 9285.6-03.

U.S. EPA 1991b. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part B, Development of Risk-Based Preliminary Remediation Goals). Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA/540/R-92/003. December 1991

U.S. EPA. 1996a. Soil Screening Guidance: User's Guide. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, DC. OSWER No. 9355.4-23 http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/conmedia/soil/index.htm#user

U.S. EPA. 1996b. Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Washington, DC. OSWER No. 9355.4-17A http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/conmedia/soil/introtbd.htm

U.S. EPA. 1997a. Exposure Factors Handbook. Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. EPA/600/P-95/002Fa.

U.S. EPA 2000. Exposure and Human Health Reassessment of 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (TCDD) and Related Compounds. Part I: Estimating Exposure to Dioxin-Like Compounds. Volume 3--Properties, Environmental Levels, and Background Exposures. Draft Final Report. EPA/600/P- 00/001. Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. September.

U.S. EPA, 2000b. Methodology for Deriving Ambient Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Human Health.

U.S. EPA, 2001. WATER9. Version 1.0.0. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC. Web site at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/software/water/index.html.

U.S. EPA 2002. Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites. OSWER 9355.4-24. December 2002. http://www.epa.gov/superfund/health/conmedia/soil/index.htm

U.S. EPA 2004. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment) Final. OSWER 9285.7-02EP.July 2004. Document and website http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragse/index.htm

U.S. EPA 2009. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part F, Supplemental Guidance for Inhalation Risk Assessment) Final. OSWER 9285.7-82. January 2009. Document, memo and website http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragsf/index.htm